APPLICATION OF NEW ITERATIVE METHOD FOR SOLVING LINEAR AND NONLINEAR INITIAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH NON LOCAL CONDITIONS

[1*]Lawal O.W. and [2]Loyimi A.C.

[1]Department of Mathematics, University of the Gambia, Gambia
[2]Department of Mathematics, Tai Solarin University of Education, Ijagun, Ogun State, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author's Email Address: waheedawal207@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT
In this paper, a new iterative method to solve linear and nonlinear initial boundary value problem (IBVP) with non local conditions was developed. The new method is an elegant combination of traditional variational iteration and the decomposition methods. Several examples are presented to verify the accuracy and efficiency of this new method with the exact solution. This new iterative method developed may be suitable for teaching and better understanding of some advanced undergraduate courses on analytical and classical mechanics.

Keywords: Variational iteration method, decomposition method, initial boundary value problems, iterative method, non-local conditions

INTRODUCTION
With the rapid development of linear and nonlinear science and engineering, many analytical and numerical methods have been developed by various researcher for solving differential equation with non local conditions, (Cheniguel, 2012; Cheniguel, 2011; Cheniguel & Ayadi (2010), Siddique, 2010; Rahman, 2009) . This development was driven by the needs from application in physics, astrophysics, experimental and mathematical physics, nuclear charge in heavy atoms, thermodynamics and fluid mechanics. The widely use methods for solving these equations are perturbation method. Cheniguel & Reghious (2013) have studied IBVP using Homotopy Perturbation method (HPM) formulated by merging the standard homotopy with perturbation. The objectives of these studies were mostly to determine the analytical and numerical solutions where a considerable volume of calculations is usually needed. In this paper, we apply the new iterative method (NIM) by Versha & Sachin (2008) and modified new iterative method (MNIM) by Yaseen & Samraiz (2012) to linear and nonlinear homogeneous and inhomogeneous IBVP with non classical condition. These new techniques minimize the amount of calculations introduced by HPM. The general form of equation is given as

$$u_t = G(x,t,u,u_x,u_{xx})$$
$$a < x < b, \ 0 < t \le T \tag{1}$$

Subject to the initial condition:
$$u(x,0) = f(x), \quad 0 \le t \le T \tag{2}$$

and the non-local boundary conditions

$$u(a,t) = \int_a^b \varphi(x,t)u(x,t)dx + g_0(t), \quad 0 < t \le T \tag{3}$$

$$u(b,t) = \int_a^b \psi(x,t)u(x,t)dx + g_1(t), \quad 0 < t \le T \tag{4}$$

where $f, g_0, g_1, \varphi, \psi$ are sufficiently smooth known functions and T is a given constant.

NEW ITERATIVE METHOD
Consider the following general functional equation
$$u(x) = f(x) + N(u(x)) \tag{5}$$

where N is a nonlinear operator from a Banach space $B \to B$ and f is a known function. $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots\ldots, x_n)$. Looking for a solution u of (5) having the form

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x) \tag{6}$$

The nonlinear operator N can be decomposed as (7)
From equations (6) and (7), equation (5) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_i = f + N(u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ N(\sum_{j=0}^{i} u_j) - N(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_j) \right\}$$

$$N(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i) = N(u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ N(\sum_{j=0}^{i} u_j) - N(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_j) \right\} \tag{8}$$

The recurrence relation are define as
$$u_0 = f \tag{9}$$
$$u_1 = N(u_0) \tag{10}$$
$$u_{n+1} = N(u_0 + \ldots\ldots u_n) - N(u_0 + \ldots\ldots + u_{n-1}) \tag{11}$$
Then
$$(u_1 + \ldots\ldots + u_n) = N(u_0 + \ldots\ldots u_n) \tag{12}$$
and
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i = f + N(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i) \tag{13}$$

Science World Journal Vol. 14(No 3) 2019
www.scienceworldjournal.org
ISSN 1597-6343
Published by Faculty of Science, Kaduna State University

The k - term approximate solution of (5) is given by $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_i$

.We refer to Varsha and Jafar (2006), Bhalekar & Varsha (2006) and Bhalekar & Varsha (2010) for details of the convergence. The MNIM is based on including particular terms of the source term of inhomogeneous IBVP into the integral representing $N(u)$ in NIM.

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Example 1
Consider the IBVP

$$u_{tt} + u_t - u_{xx} - u_x = 4t^3 + 12t^2 - 4x^3 - 12x^2$$
$$0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{14}$$

with the initial condition:

$$u(x,0) = x^4, \quad u_t(x,0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{15}$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$u(0,t) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x,t) u(x,t) \, dt + g_0(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{5} t^4 \tag{16}$$

where $\varphi(x,t) = \frac{1}{5}$ and $g_0(t) = \frac{24}{25}$

$$u(1,t) = \int_0^1 \psi(x,t) u(x,t) \, dt + g_1(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{6} t^4 \tag{17}$$

where $\psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $g_1(t) = \frac{29}{30}$

To solve this problem, equation (14) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$u = x^4 + \int_0^t \int_0^t \left(u_{xx} + u_x - u_t + 4t^3 + 12t^2 - 4x^3 - 12x^2 \right) dt \, dt \tag{18}$$

Set $u_0 = x^4$ and

$$N(u) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \left(u_{xx} + u_x - u_t + 4t^3 + 12t^2 - 4x^3 - 12x^2 \right) dt \, dt$$

. Following the algorithm (9) – (11), the successive approximations are

$$u_1 = N(u_0) = \frac{1}{5} t^5 + t^4 \tag{19}$$

$$u_2 = N(u_0 + u_1) - N(u_0) = t^4 - \frac{1}{30} t^6 - x^4 \tag{20}$$

$$u_3 = N(u_0 + u_1 + u_2) - N(u_0 + u_1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{210} t^7 - \frac{1}{30} t^6 - \frac{2}{5} t^5 + \frac{1}{2}(-4x^3 - 12x^2) t^2 - x^4 \tag{21}$$

Thus, the approximate solution of Equations (14) - (17) after 3rd iteration is

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 u_i = \frac{1}{210} t^7 - \frac{1}{15} t^6 - \frac{1}{5} t^5 + 2t^4 + (-2x^3 - 6x^2) t^2 - x^4 \tag{22}$$

which is very close to exact solution $u(x,t) = x^4 + t^4$ obtained by Cheniguel and Reghioua (2013)

Example 2
Consider the following nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation:

$$u_t - u_{xx} = u^2 - u_x^2 \qquad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{23}$$

Subject to the initial condition:

$$u(x,0) = e^x, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{24}$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$u(0,t) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x,t) u(x,t) \, dt + g_0(t) = e^{1+t} \tag{25}$$

where $\varphi(x,t) = 1$ and $g_0(t) = e^t$

$$u(1,t) = \int_0^1 \psi(x,t) u(x,t) \, dt + g_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{1+t} \tag{26}$$

where $\psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^t$

To solve this problem, equation (23) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$u = e^x + \int_0^t \left(u_{xx} + u^2 - u_x^2 \right) dt \tag{27}$$

Set $u_0 = e^x$ and

$$N(u) = \int_0^t \left(u_{xx} + u^2 - u_x^2 \right) dt$$

.

Following the algorithm (9) – (11), the successive approximations are

$$u_1 = N(u_0) = e^x t \tag{28}$$

$$u_2 = N(u_0 + u_1) - N(u_0) = e^x t + \frac{1}{2} e^x t^2 - e^x \tag{29}$$

$$u_3 = N(u_0 + u_1 + u_2) - N(u_0 + u_1) = e^x t^2 + \frac{1}{6} e^x t^3 - e^x - e^x t \tag{30}$$

Thus, the approximate solution of Eqs. (23)–(26) after 3rd iteration is

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 u_i = \frac{1}{6} e^x t^3 + \frac{3}{2} e^x t^2 + e^x t - e^x \tag{31}$$

which is very close to exact solution $u(x,t) = e^{x+t}$ obtained by Cheniguel & Reghioua (2013)

Example 3
Consider the following nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation:

$$u_t = \frac{1}{6} \left(x^2 u_{xx} + y^2 u_{yy} + z^2 u_{zz} \right)$$

$$0 < x, y, z < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{32}$$

Subject to the initial condition:

$$u(x, y, z, 0) = x^2 y^2 z^2, \quad 0 < x, y, z < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{33}$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$u(0, y, z, t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u(x, y, z, t)\, dx\, dy\, dz + g_1 = \frac{1}{27} e^t, \quad g_1 = 0 \tag{34}$$

$$u(1, y, z, t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u(x, y, z, t)\, dx\, dy\, dz + g_2 = \frac{1}{27} e^t + \frac{1}{2} t, \quad g_2 = \frac{1}{2} t \tag{35}$$

$$u(x, 0, z, t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u(x, y, z, t)\, dx\, dy\, dz + g_3 = \frac{1}{27}\left(e^x + 1\right), \quad g_3 = \frac{1}{27} \tag{36}$$

$$u(x, 1, z, t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u(x, y, z, t)\, dx\, dy\, dz + g_4 = \frac{1}{27}\left(e^t + 3\right), \quad g_4 = \frac{1}{9} \tag{38}$$

$$u(x, y, 0, t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u(x, y, z, t)\, dx\, dy\, dz + g_5 = \frac{1}{27} e^t + \frac{1}{6}, \quad g_5 = \frac{1}{6} \tag{39}$$

$$u(x, y, 1, t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u(x, y, z, t)\, dx\, dy\, dz + g_6 = \frac{1}{27} e^t + \frac{1}{5} t, \quad g_6 = \frac{1}{5} t \tag{40}$$

To solve this problem, equation (32) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$u = x^2 y^2 z^2 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{6}\left(x^2 u_{xx} + y^2 u_{yy} + z^2 u_{zz}\right) dt \tag{41}$$

Set $u_0 = x^2 y^2 z^2$ and

$$N(u) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{6}\left(x^2 u_{xx} + y^2 u_{yy} + z^2 u_{zz}\right) d \text{. Following}$$

the algorithm (9) – (11), the successive approximations are

$$u_1 = N(u_0) = x^2 y^2 z^2 t \tag{42}$$

$$u_2 = N(u_0 + u_1) - N(u_0) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 y^2 z^2 t^2 + x^2 y^2 z^2 t - x^2 y^2 z^2 t \tag{43}$$

$$u_3 = N(u_0 + u_1 + u_2) - N(u_0 + u_1) =$$

$$\frac{1}{6} x^2 y^2 z^2 t^3 + x^2 y^2 z^2 t^2 - x^2 y^2 z^2 t \tag{44}$$

Thus, the approximate solution of Equations (32)–(40) after 3rd iteration is

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 u_i = \frac{1}{6} x^2 y^2 z^2 t^3 + \frac{3}{2} x^2 y^2 z^2 t^2 + x^2 y^2 z^2 t - x^2 y^2 z^2 \tag{43}$$

which is very close to exact solution $u(x, y, z, t) = x^2 y^2 z^2 e^t$ obtained by Cheniguel & Reghioua (2013)

Example 4
Consider the IBVP

$$u_{tt} = \left(u^{-1} u_x\right)_x \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{44}$$

with the initial condition:

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T \tag{45}$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$u(0, t) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x, t) u(x, t)\, dt + g_0(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} t \tag{46}$$

where $\varphi(x, t) = 1$ and $g_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$u(1, t) = \int_0^1 \psi(x, t) u(x, t)\, dt + g_1(t) = 1 + \frac{5}{40} t \tag{47}$$

where $\psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $g_1(t) = \frac{7}{8}$

To solve this problem, equation (44) is equivalent to the following integral equation

$$u = \frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} + \int_0^t \int_0^t \left(u^{-1} u_x\right)_x dt\, dt \tag{48}$$

Set $u_0 = \frac{1}{(1 + x)^2}$ and $N(u) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \left(u^{-1} u_x\right)_x dt\, dt$.

Following the algorithm (9) – (11), the successive approximations are

$$u_1 = N(u_0) = \frac{t^2}{(1 + x)^2} \tag{49}$$

$$u_2 = N(u_0 + u_1) - N(u_0) = \frac{t^2}{(1 + x)^2}^4 - \frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} \tag{50}$$

$$u_3 = N(u_0 + u_1 + u_2) - N(u_0 + u_1) = -\frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} \tag{51}$$

Thus, the approximate solution of Equations (44) - (47) after 3rd iteration is

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 u_i = -\frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} + \frac{2t^2}{(1 + x)^2} \tag{52}$$

which is very close to exact solution $u(x, t) = \frac{1 - t^2}{(1 + x)^2}$

obtained by Cheniguel & Reghioua (2013)

RESULTS

Table 1: Pointwise error obtained between NIM results and exact solutions in **Example 1**

$$h_x = \frac{1}{10}, \quad h_t = \frac{1}{250}$$

x_i	u_{ex}	u_{HPM} 3-iterates	u_{NIM}	$\lvert u_{ex} - u_{NIM} \rvert$
0.0	2.56E-10	-1.92E-3	4.09E-13	2.5E-10
0.1	0.000100	-9.2E-5	0.000100	0
0.2	0.001600	0.001584	0.001600	0
0.3	0.008100	0.007908	0.008100	0
0.4	0.025617	0.025408	0.025617	0
0.5	0.062500	0.062308	0.062528	2.8E-05
0.6	0.129600	0.129410	0.129641	4.1E-05
0.7	0.240100	0.239910	0.240158	5.8E-05
0.8	0.409600	0.409410	0.409677	7.7E-05
0.9	0.656100	0.655910	0.656201	1.0E-05
1.0	1.000000	0.999981	1.000128	1.2E-05

Table 2: Pointwise error obtained between NIM results and exact solutions in **Example 2**

$$h_x = \frac{1}{10}, \quad h_t = \frac{1}{250}$$

x_i	u_{ex}	u_{HPM} 3-iterates	u_{NIM}	$\lvert u_{ex} - u_{NIM} \rvert$
0.0	1.004008	1.004009	1.003983	2.5E-05
0.1	1.109600	1.109600	1.109573	2.7E-05
0.2	1.226268	1.226300	1.226268	0
0.3	1.355269	1.355301	1.355236	3.3E-05
0.4	1.497803	1.497807	1.497768	3.5E-05
0.5	1.655329	1.655302	1.655289	4.0E-05
0.6	1.828421	1.829405	1.829378	9.2E-05
0.7	2.021823	2.021801	2.021775	4.8E-05
0.8	2.234460	2.234500	2.234407	5.3E-05
0.9	2.469461	2.469503	2.469402	5.9E-05
1.0	2.729176	2.729205	2.729111	6.5E-05

Table 3: Pointwise error obtained between NIM results and exact solutions in **Example 3**

$$h_x = \frac{1}{10}, \quad h_t = \frac{1}{250}$$

x_i, y_i, z_i	u_{ex}	u_{HPM} 3-iterates	u_{NIM}	$\lvert u_{ex} - u_{NIM} \rvert$
0.0,0.0,0.0	0	0	0	0
0.1,0.1,0.1	0.000001	0.000001	0.000001	0
0.2,02,0.2	0.000064	0.000064	0.000064	0
0.3,0.3,0.3	0.000731	0.000731	0.000731	0
0.4,0.4,0.4	0.004112	0.004112	0.004112	0
0.5,0.5,0.5	0.015687	0.015687	0.015687	0
0.6,0.6,0.6	0.046842	0.046842	0.046842	0
0.7,0.7,0.7	0.118120	0.118120	0.118117	3.0E-06
0.8,0.8,0.8	0.263194	0.263194	0.263188	6.0E-06
0.9,0.9,0.9	0.533571	0.533571	0.533558	1.3E-05
1.0,1.0,1.0	1.004008	1.004008	1.003983	2.5E-05

Table 4: Pointwise error obtained between NIM results and exact solutions in **Example 4**

$$h_x = \frac{1}{10}, \quad h_t = \frac{1}{250}$$

x_i	u_{ex}	u_{HPM} 3-iterates	u_{NIM}	$\lvert u_{ex} - u_{NIM} \rvert$
0.0	1.000001	1.000000	0.999968	3.3E-05
0.1	0.826459	0.826450	0.826419	4.0E-05
0.2	0.694455	0.694442	0.694422	3.3E-05
0.3	0.591725	0.591723	0.591697	2.8E-05
0.4	0.510212	0.510200	0.510187	2.5E-05
0.5	0.444451	0.444444	0.444430	2.1E-05
0.6	0.390631	0.390631	0.390612	1.9E-05
0.7	0.346026	0.346022	0.346009	1.7E-05
0.8	0.308646	0.308641	0.308632	1.4E-05
0.9	0.277012	0.277013	0.276999	1.3E-05
1.0	0.250004	0.250010	0.249992	1.2E-05

Conclusion

In this paper, we applied the new iterative method by Versha & Sachin (2008) and modified new iterative method (MNIM) by Yaseen & Samraiz (2012) for solving linear and nonlinear initial boundary value problem (IBVP) with non local conditions. The method is applied directly without using linearization, discretization, perturbation or restrictive assumption in comparison with other existing methods. The exact and approximate solutions were obtained by using the initial conditions only. The results as shown in the tables and graphs illustrate the stability and convergence of the method even at third iteration for IBVP. Thus we conclude the method used in this paper can be considered as an efficient alternative for solving IBVP with non-local conditions.

REFERENCES

Bhalekar, S. and Varsha, D.G. (2008). New iterative method: Application to partial differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput., 203,* 778–783.

Bhalekar, S. and Varsha, D.G. (2010). Solving evolution equations using a new iterative method, *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations, 26,* 906–916.

Cheniguel, A. (2013). Numerical method for solving wave equation with non local boundary conditions. *Proceedings of the International Multi-conference of Engineers and*

Computer Scientists, 2, IMECS 2013, Hong Kong

Cheniguel, A. (2012). Numerical simulation of two-dimensional diffusion equation with non local conditions. *International Mathematical Forum, 7*(50), 2457-2463

Cheniguel, A. (2011). Numerical method for solving heat equation with derivatives boundary conditions. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science, 2,* WCECS 2011. San Francisco. USA.

Cheniguel, A. & Ayadi, A. (2010). Solving non-homogeneous heat equation by the Adomian decomposition method. *International Journal of Numerical Methods and Applications, 4*(10), 89-97

Cheniguel, A. (2011). Numerical method for non local problem. *International Mathematical Forum, 6*(14), 659-666.

Cheniguel A. and Reghioua, M. (2013). Homotopy perturbation method for solving some initial boundary value problem with non local conditions. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science, 1,* San Francisco, USA.

He, J. H. (1999). Variational iteration method-a kind of nonlinear analytical technique: some examples. *Int. J. Nonlin. Mech., 34,* 699-708.

Rahman, M.A. (2009). Fourth-Order method for non-homogeneous heat equation with non local boundary conditions. *Applied Mathematical Sciences, 3* (37), 1811-1821.

Siddique, M. (2010). Numerical computation of two dimensional diffusion equations with nonlocal boundary conditions. *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 40*(1), 26-31.

Varsha, D.G. and Sachin B. (2008). New iterative method: Application to partial differntial equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.,203,* 778-783.

Varsha, D.G. and Jafari, H. (2006). An iterative method for solving nonlinear functional equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl., 316,* 752–763.

Yaseen, M. and Samraiz, M. (2012). The modified new iterative method for solving linear and nonlinar Klein-Gordon equations. *Applied Mathematical Sciences, 6*(60), 2979-2987.