# Full Length Research Article

# FENTON OXIDATION DE-CONTAMINATION OF KEROSENE AND GASOLINE CONTAMINATED SURFACE WATER FROM THE OGBE IJOH RIVER: PROCESS OPTIMIZATION AND KINETIC MODELLING

\*1A.A. Chokor and <sup>2</sup>A.C. Ogonegbu

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science. Federal University Otuoke, P.M.B. 126, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Sciences, Dennis Osadebay University, Anwai Asaba, Delta State, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author Email Address: chokoraa@fuotuoke.edu.ng

### ABSTRACT

Fenton oxidation decontamination of kerosene and gasolinecontaminated water from the Ogbe Ijoh River was investigated for its effectiveness as an advanced oxidation process (AOP). The optimum conditions at ambient temperature and pH = 3, were 22,500 mg (22.5 g) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 200 mg FeSO<sub>4</sub> per L of 10% contaminated water treatment. Pseudo-first and second-order kinetic equations were used to test the kinetic model. The result indicated that both the first and second-order kinetics were applicable even though the first order must fit. This indicates that there was a change in the mechanistic pathway during the degradation process. The rate constants of 2.06 X10<sup>-2</sup> and 2.14 X 10<sup>-2</sup> mg/L.S were obtained for the first-order kinetic plots for the degradation of kerosene and gasoline-contaminated waters respectively. The 90.90 and 94.11% reductions in total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) values for kerosene and gasolinecontaminated water for the six hours of contact time represented significant achievement in remediation. However, some physicochemical parameters of the treated water have negative effects on the overall quality of the water. Thus, there is need for post-treatment to ensure safe discharge or reuse.

Keywords: Degradation, hydroxyl radicals, total petroleum hydrocarbons, reaction kinetics, remediation

### INTRODUCTION

Pollution by petroleum hydrocarbons has significant impacts on the ecological system. Water a vital resource that supports and sustains lives on earth, is particularly affected by the release of hydrocarbons into the environment. Hydrocarbons though, naturally presence in the environment, large amount of it in a contaminated environment, which gets into water bodies comes through the activities of man such as: oil exploration and exploitation, pipelines leakages and vandalization, runoff from petroleum contaminated soils. leakages from farm tanks, tankers. trucks, vessels and ships, oil spills, and indiscriminate dumping of petroleum products on water ways. Industrial and municipal waste discharges, fallout of automobile exhaust, and combustion activities carried by runoff and brought down to water bodies constitute other anthropogenic sources of hydrocarbons (Chokor, 2021a). Surface water, particularly river water furnishes valuable resources which include the protection and propagation of aquatic lives (e.g. fishes), recreation, and public water supply etc. (EPA, 2015; Chokor, 2021b;c). Thus, their contaminations represent a danger to man and the ecosystem. The effects of petroleum hydrocarbon exposure to organisms and human health have been variously described by various authors. The disruption in the

activities of various body organs, such as: the pancreas, kidney, liver, blood circulatory system, and ultimately death were noted by Abha and Singh (2012), and Oyinbo et al (2018). Humans health complications like skin irritation and rashes, genotoxicity, respiratory system disorders, cancers of different parts (organs) of the body, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage, birth defects, childhood leukaemia, infertility and miscarriages in women, have also been linked to petroleum hydrocarbons contamination (Ezekwe and Edoghotu, 2015; Kponee et al., 2015; Asghar et al, 2016, Briggs and Briggs, 2018; Ite et al., 2018; Chokor, 2021a). The severity of the impacts depends on several factors such as: the persistence and bioavailability of specific hydrocarbon, the ability of organisms to accumulate and metabolize various hydrocarbons, the fate of the metabolized products, and the interference of specific hydrocarbons with normal metallic processes (Lee et al., 2015; Chokor, 2022). But generally, impairment of feeding mechanisms, growth and developmental rates, as well as increased susceptibility to diseases and other histopathological disorders are some of the common subtle acute effects that may crop up due to petroleum hydrocarbon exposure (Al-Shwafi 2008; Enuneku et al., 2015). Chronic low-level exposure to hydrocarbons may result in physiological impairment, affects survival, and reduces reproductive success (Enuneku et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Chokor, 2021a).

The need therefore for hydrocarbon-contaminated water, to be remediated can never be overemphasized. Various remediation techniques have been suggested and are being used in the treatment of water and wastewater (de Abreu Domingos and da Fonseca, 2018; Esmaelli and Saremnia, 2018; Campo and Di Bella, 2019; Filatova and Soboleva, 2019; Mohammadi et al., 2020). Biological, physical and or chemical methods are being used for the oxidative destructions and/or removal of organic pollutants in water and wastewater. The cost effectiveness of biological treatment as well as its versatility in handling a wide range of organic pollutants has given it much attention; and its use in remediation far exceeds those of chemical and /or physical methods. However, the long retention time and start-up time of the oxidation process makes it less attractive for the treatment of toxic and refractory organic pollutants (Moussavi et al., 2011). Thermal destructions (incineration) though, simple in principle, had many challenges as regards its operations. Besides, thermal processes are limited in the treatment of aqueous wastes since large quantity of energy is required in heating and vaporising the water mass before specific organic pollutants are destroyed at relatively elevated temperature of not less than 850°C. Chemical oxidation such wet-air oxidation, involving the decomposition of pollutants with atmospheric air or pure oxygen at lower temperature (150 -

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic 370°C.) and 10 -220 bar pressure in the presence of a catalyst has been developed to overcome the above problems; and improved the efficiency of the incineration process. However, the high cost associated with the wet-air oxidation process had caused it to remain an operation only at the preliminary treatment requiring further treatment for the total destruction of organic pollutants. Advanced oxidation processes (AOP) which involve the generation of radicals in situ in sufficient quantity to effect treatment of water is now being looked upon as viable alternatives for the remediation of water and waste water. The specific mechanisms involved in Fenton oxidation process have been variously described by various authors (Babuponnusami and Muthukuma, 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Walling et al., 2021). Some authors (Singh and Tang, 2013; Deng et al., 2015; Medjor et al., 2018) have used Fenton oxidation; an advanced oxidation process for the treatment of hydrocarbons and organic wastes in wastes waters but they measured the remediation efficiency using reduction in chemical oxygen demands (CODs) and total organic carbons (TOC). COD as a collective parameter measures both the oxidizable organics as well as inorganic, including metal ions; while TOC focuses on all forms of organic carbons - both petroleum hydrocarbons and other forms. In this work, Fenton oxidation was used for the treatment of the Ogbe-Ijor River water contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons - kerosene and gasoline, using Total Petroleum hydrocarbon (TPHs) measurement as a quantitative evaluation of the extent of petroleum hydrocarbon removal.



Figure.1: Map showing Ogbe Ijoh River and the sampled area

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sampling area, sample collection and preparation

Water samples were collected from the Ogbe Ijoh River in Warri South West L.G.A of Delta State. Nigeria (50281 N and 50 441 E) (Fig. 1). Composite sampling were carried out by collecting water samples from the surface of the river at two hourly intervals for over 20 hours period and the flow rate being measured each time interval of collections. Thereafter, a single composite sampling was made by mixing to 10 separate two-hourly samples, using volumes proportional to the flow rate at the time of sampling. The samples were put in pre-cleaned 250 ml capacity amber glass bottles with aluminium-lined screw cap and kept in ice chest at temperature below 4°C for onward transportation to the laboratory. In the laboratory, a portion of the samples were analysed for physicochemical properties using standard methods as described by Ademoriti (1996), Radojević and Baskin (1999), and Chokor (2021b;c). The other portions were contaminated with 10 percent volumes of kerosene and also of gasoline and thoroughly mixed by the use of a mechanical shaker to produced 10% contaminations for remediation studies. The hydrocarbons viz; domestic purpose kerosene (DPK) and automotive gasoline used to simulate contaminations, were obtained from the Petroleum Refinery Company (PRC) Warri, Delta State, Nigeria.

### Sample Extraction and analysis

The water samples were extracted according to the USEPA methods 1664 (USEPA, 1999). 10 ml of hexane was added to a separating funnel containing 60 ml of unfiltered water sample and this was shaken vigorouslyfor about 5 min. The sample was allowed to stand for 20 minutes until two distinct layers were formed. The upper layer (the extract) was filtered into a beaker through a filter paper containing glass wool and anhydrous sodium sulphate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The process was repeated twice using 10 ml of hexane. The extracts were combined and analysed with UV spectrometer.

# Optimization of hydrogen peroxide and iron II sulphate concentrations for Fenton treatment process

Concentrations range of 0.00 - 120,000 mg/L were prepared by adding 0.0, 4.5, 6.0, 7.5, 9.0, 10.5, and -12 ml of hydrogen peroxide solutions into seven 100 ml flasks. The flask and content were shaken thoroughly and made up to mark using distilled water. The different masses (0.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, 30.0 & 40.0 mg) of iron (II) sulphate were similarly weighed into six different 100 ml volumetric flasks. To seven 100 ml solutions of kerosene and gasoline simulated water samples were added a constant quantity of FeSO4 (30 mg) and varied amount of 30 ml of 0.0 - 120,000 mg/L H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> of prepared treatment solution as stated above. It is a well-known fact that the generation of \*OH radicals during Fenton reaction is most effective only at acidic pH condition, therefore, the pH was adjusted to 3 using 1M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at the beginning of the reaction. At the end of the reaction time, the solution pH was adjusted to 10 using 1M solution of NaOH to avoid continuous production of hydroxyl radicals (Singh and Tang, 2013; Deng and Zhao, 2015). The samples were kept stirring to ensure that an even Fenton reaction took place for 30 minutes until extraction and analysis. Kerosene and gasoline in the water layers were extracted using hexane, and the Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs) as kerosene and fractions were determined by UV/visible aasoline spectrophotometer at wavelength of 310 nm and 330 nm respectively using standard method (Wang et al., 2011; Akpoveta et al., 2018; Medjor et al., 2018). The optimum concentration of hydrogen peroxide obtained above was used to determine the optimum concentration of iron (II) sulphate. The optimum concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> obtained above was held constant while varving the concentrations of FeSO<sub>4</sub> used to treat the kerosene and gasoline oil simulated water samples. The solutions were allowed to stand for 30 minutes before extraction and analysis.

### **Kinetic Studies**

The Optimum conditions obtained from the optimization study were applied in the kinetic study of the remediation; where aliquots were taken out at time interval of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 hours for extraction and analysis.

### Quality control

High quality grade n-hexane was used in the extraction of petroleum hydrocarbons from the contaminated surface water; and in preparing working standards for the calibration curves. The dilute

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic

238

used to correct any absorption of light by n-hexane (Medjor et al.,

2018). The analyses were carried out in triplicates and the results

are expressed as mean and standard deviation from the mean (±).

solutions of the hydrocarbons used in the spectrophotometric measurements were homogeneously mixed and found not to associate or dissociate at the time of analysis. Clean and dried quartz cuvettes free from scratches were used. Reagent blanks (analyte-free water + treatment solutions to be analyzed) were

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSION**

 
 Table 1: Optimization of Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) concentration in Fenton oxidation of 100 ml of 10% Kerosene and Gasoline contaminated waters

| 30mL of the different concentrations    | Kerosene         |               | Gasoline         |               |  |
|---|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--|
| of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (mg/L) | Mean TPHs (mg/L) | % Remediation | Mean TPHs (mg/L) | % Remediation |  |
| 0.0                                     | 4,820.4±3.40     | 0.00          | 5,208.4±4.50     | 0.00          |  |
| 45,000.0                                | 2,412.2±1.93     | 49.96         | 2,874.2±2.53     | 44.82         |  |
| 60,000.0                                | 2,116.1±2.36     | 56.10         | 2,516.1±2.36     | 51.69         |  |
| 75,000.0                                | 1.807.2±1.70     | 62.51         | 2,407.2±2.42     | 53.78         |  |
| 90,000.0                                | 2,013.3±3.80     | 58.23         | 2.580.3±3.11     | 50.46         |  |
| 105,000.0                               | 1,901.4±3.02     | 60.55         | 1,680.4±2.71     | 57.74         |  |
| 120,000.0                               | 2.312.7±3.21     | 52.02         | 2,662.7±4.81     | 48.88         |  |

 Table 2: Optimization of Iron (II) Sulphate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) concentration in Fenton oxidation of

<sup>100</sup>mL of 10% Kerosene and Gasoline contaminated

| waters |                     |           |                     |           |
|--------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Mass   | Kerosene            |           | Gasoline            |           |
| of     | Mean                | %         | Mean                | %         |
| FeS    | TPHs                | Remediati | TPHs                | Remediati |
| O4     | (mg/L)              | on        | (mg/L)              | on        |
| (mg)   |                     |           |                     |           |
| 0.0    | 4,820.4±3.          | 0.00      | 5,208.4±4.          | 0.00      |
|        | 40                  |           | 50                  |           |
| 5.0    | 3,399.8 <b>±</b> 2. | 29.47     | 4,003.2±3.          | 23.14     |
|        | 26                  |           | 29                  |           |
| 10.0   | 3,647.1±2.          | 24.34     | 3,815.7±1.          | 26.74     |
|        | 00                  |           | 50                  |           |
| 20.0   | 1,757.5 <b>±</b> 2. | 63.54     | 1,680.2 <b>±</b> 2. | 67.74     |
|        | 31                  |           | 61                  |           |
| 30.0   | 1,807.2 <b>±</b> 3. | 62.51     | 2,201.1±3.          | 57.74     |
|        | 62                  |           | 30                  |           |
| 40.0   | 3,285.6±2.          | 31.84     | 3,719.8±2.          | 28.58     |
|        | 51                  |           | 45                  |           |

The optimal concentrations of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  and Iron II sulphate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) required for the Fenton oxidation of 10%

kerosene and gasoline contaminated waters were obtained by first keeping constant the concentration of FeSO<sub>4</sub>(300 mg/100mL of the contaminated water) and varying that of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Table 1). The optimum concentration required; producing the maximum remediation (62.51%), that is, the maximum degradation of kerosene contaminated water was 30mL of 75,000 mg H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/L (Fig.2). The gasoline contaminated water however, required 30 ml of 105,000mgH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/L to yield the optimal percent remediation of 57.74%. Next to this peak was 53.78% remediation produced by 30mL of 75,000mgH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/L. Given the closeness of the above two peaks, the 75,000mg/LH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was held constant for both kerosene and gasoline contaminated water, and the concentrations of FeSO4 was varied in order to obtained an optimum concentration for the FeSO<sub>4</sub> (Table 2). The optimum concentrations for both the 10% kerosene and gasoline contaminated water were found to be 20mg FeSO<sub>4</sub>/100mL (Fig. 3). Thus, the optimum conditions for the Fenton oxidation de-contamination of the surface water polluted with 10% kerosene and gasoline contaminated waters at pH 3, and ambient temperature were: 30 ml of 75,000 mg/LH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 20 mgFeSO<sub>4</sub> per 100 ml of contaminated water. This translates to 22,500mg (22.5g) H2O2 and 200mg FeSO4 per L of 10% contaminated water treatment.



Figure 2: Percent remediation with amount (mg/L) of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) used in the oxidation of

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic modelling Science World Journal Vol. 20(No 1) 2025 www.scienceworldjournal.org ISSN: 1597-6343 (Online), ISSN: 2756-391X (Print) Published by Faculty of Science, Kaduna State University

100mL of contaminated water



Figure 3: Percent remediation with amount (mg) of Iron II sulphate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) used in the oxidation of 100mL of contaminated water



Figure 4: Trend of TPHs (mg/L) degradations with 10% kerosene and gasoline contaminated water by Fenton oxidation

Figure 4 shows the rate of total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPHs) degradations with time in both the 10% kerosene and gasoline contaminated water. The diminishing TPH with time is a strong indication that the reacting species of the treatment solution had a strong interaction with the TPH molecules of the sample medium. The chart showed that for both contaminated waters, there was a very sharp step degradation of the TPHs within the first 60 minutes, followed by slow step degradations afterward. The large number of TPHs available for reaction. The reaction becomes slower afterward due to a lesser number of TPHs available for reaction. Several authors have described a two-step stage as characterizing some reactions. Chokor (2017) found a two-step process (a fast first stage followed by a slower second stage) for the adsorption of

heavy metals onto sandy-loam soils in Sapele. Similarly, Mitsika et al (2013) and Covinich et al (2018) found two-step stages for the homogeneous oxidation of real effluent. In this study, a 90.90% reduction (remediation) of TPHs was achieved for the 6 hours of Fenton reagent contact with the kerosene-contaminated water. However, 87.83% of this value corresponding to 79.84% reduction of TPHs was achieved within one (1) hour of contact time. Similarly, the gasoline-contaminated water had within the 6 hrs of contact time, 94.11% of its TPHs degraded; but 87.83% of this value representing 82.66% reduction in initial TPHs concentration was achieved within 1hr of contact time with the Fenton reagent. The reasonable degradation obtained for waters in one hour of contact imply that there may not be need for prolong hours of contacts. Some of the physicochemical properties of the uncontaminated water, after contamination with 10% kerosene and gasoline, and

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic modelling

treatments with Fenton's oxidation shown in Table S1 indicated marked quality improvement compared to the contaminated water. However, the pH, electrical conductivity, and metals content (Cd, Ni,& Pb) were quite high even after treatment; and therefore may

require further treatment before use or discharge to receiving water bodies.







Figure6: Plot of inverse of TPHs concentrations (1/ [TPHs]) against time (min) for the Fenton degradation of kerosene and gasoline contaminated water.

Two kinetic models – the pseudo-first, and pseudo-second order equations were applied to investigate the kinetic of remediation process (Fig. 5 & 6). The pseudo rate order plots for the remediation process were tested using the pseudo-first order equation of  $In[A]_t = -Kt + In [A]_0$ ; and second order equation :  $1/[A]_t = Kt + 1/[A]_0$ ; where In is the natural logarithm, and  $[A]_0$  and  $[A]_t$  are concentrations of A at times t = 0 and t = t, respectively. It is such that, if the reaction is first order, a plot of  $In[A]_t$  against time

should give a straight line with slope equals to –K, and intercept at  $In[A]_{o}$ . However if the reaction rate is second order, the plot of  $1/[A]_{t}$  against time will produces a straight line with slope equals to K, and intercept at  $1/[A]_{o}$ . The pseudo-first order equations gave straight lines (Fig.5) with R<sup>2</sup> values of 0.912, and 0.950 for kerosene and gasoline contaminated water. This indicated that the remediation kinetic followed a first order. However, the plot of  $1/[A]_{t}$  against t also gave a near straight line (though with lesser values of R<sup>2</sup>; Fig.6) for both kerosene and gasoline contaminated waters. This

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic modelling

perhaps indicates that at some point in the degradation process, there was a change in mechanism of the reaction. The two (2) steps - fast and slow - processes (Fig. 4) probably involve two different mechanisms. The basic reactions in Fenton oxidation are (Deng and Zhao, 2015; Chok *et al.*, 2020):

| $Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \longrightarrow Fe^{3+} + *OH + OI$     | H- (1) |
|---|--------|
| $Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \longrightarrow Fe^{2+} + HO_2^* + H^3$ | + (2)  |
| $^{\circ}OH + H_2O_2 \longrightarrow HO_2^{\circ} + H_2O$ | (3)    |
| $^{*}OH + Fe^{2+} \longrightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^{-}$       | (4)    |
| $Fe^{3+} + HO^*_2 \longrightarrow Fe^{3+} + O_2H^+$       | (5)    |
| $Fe^{2+} + HO^*_2 + H^+ \longrightarrow Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2$ | (6)    |
| $2HO_2^* \longrightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$                    | (7)    |
| Organic pollutant + <sup>*</sup> OH → Degraded Produc     | ts (8) |

The \*OH radical is generated from Eq. 1 through electron transfer. The \*OH produced can however, be scavenged by either of Fenton reagents as shown in Eq. 3 and 4. The fast step may represents a condition when there was initially so much hydroxyl radicals (\*OH) generated by the Fenton system to oxidize as much petroleum hydrocarbons that were available (Eq. 1 & 8). The rate at this point, depends only on the amount (concentration) of hydrocarbons (TPHs) in the solution that is; the reaction follows the first order. However, as the reaction proceeds, much \*OH radicals are consumed (Eq. 3 & 4), so that the conversion of hydrocarbons to CO2 and H2O (Eq. 8) becomes affected by the number \*OH radicals in solution. At this point, the rate is not just dependent on the concentration of TPHs but also on the amount \*OH generated by the Fe<sup>2+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system; which is a function of equilibrium of the various equations shown above (Eq. 1 - 8). Hence the shift to a second order as evidenced in the slow step process. Covinich et al (2018), found a similar two-sequence steps for Fenton-type oxidation of complex industrial effluent. Other workers: Buffle et al (2006), Martins et al (2010), and Nieto et al (2011) have similarly given evidence of "two-steps" kinetic processes involving the decomposition and degradations of waste waters. The fast step process, which involved about 79.84 and 82.66% reduction in TPHs for kerosene and gasoline contaminated water respectively. is, however more of importance. The reaction constant k was respectively 0.211 and 0.249 min-1. These values can be expressed in the usual units of mg/L.S by converting the In [TPHs] first to [TPHs] in (mg/L), and then dividing by 60 to convert to seconds. This leaves us with k values of 2.06 X 10<sup>-2</sup>, and 2.14 X 10<sup>-2</sup> mg/L.S for the kerosene and gasoline contaminated water.

### Conclusion

The Fenton oxidation, one of the advanced oxidation processes (AOP) demonstrated high efficiency towards the degradations of petroleum hydrocarbons in 10% kerosene and gasoline contaminated water of the Ogbe Ijoh River. The percentage removal of hydrocarbons in terms of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) under optimum conditions were 90.90 and 94.11% for kerosene and gasoline contaminated water respectively in six hours of contact time. A reasonable value of percent reduction was however, met within one(1) hour of contact time signifying that prolonged treatment time may not be necessary. The generation of secondary pollutants in water by the Fenton process however, calls for post-treatment measures before reuse or discharge into natural water bodies.

### REFERENCES

- Abha, S., Singh, C.S. (2012). Hydrocarbon pollution: effects on living organisms' remediation of contaminated environments, and effects of heavy metals cocontamination on bioremediation. In: Introduction to Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Processes and Bioremediation of Oil-Contaminated Sites, pp. 186–206.
- Ademoroti, C.M.A. (1996) Standard methods for water and effluents analysis, Ibadan: *Foludex Press Ltd*,Nigeria.
- Akpoveta, O.V., Medjor, W.O., Medjor, E.A. (2018). Fenton treatment via oxidative mechanism and its kinetics on soil polluted with automatic gas oil, *Petroleum*, 4(4): 452 – 456.
- Al-Shwafi, N. A. A. (2008). Total petroleum hydrocarbon carcinogens in commercial fish in the red sea and Gulf of Aden-Yemen. *Marine Science* 19, 15–28.
- Asghar, H.N., Rafique, H.M., Zahir, Z.A., Khan, M.Y., Akhtar, M.J., Naveed, M., Saleem, M.,(2016). Petroleum hydrocarbonscontaminated soils: remediation approaches, Soil science: agricultural and environmental prospectives. Springer, pp. 105-129.
- Babuponnusami A, Muthukumar K. (2014). A review on Fenton and improvents to the Fenton process for wastewatertreatment. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*. 2:557-572
- Briggs, I. L., Briggs, B.C.(2018). Petroleum industry activities and human health A2-Ndimele, Prince E," The political ecology of oil and gas activities in the nigerian aquatic ecosystem, Ndimele, P.E. ed., Academic Press, pp. 143-147.
- Buffle, M.O., Schumacher, J., Salhi, E., Jekel, M., Von Gunten, U. (2006). Measurement of the initial phase of ozone decomposition in waterand wastewater by means of a continuous quench-flow system:application to disinfection and pharmaceutical oxidation. *Water Res* 40(9):1884– 1894
- Campo, R., Di Bella, G. (2019). Petrochemical slop wastewater treatment by means of aerobic granular sludge:Effect of granulation process on bio-adsorption and hydrocarbons removal. *Chem. Eng. J.*, 378.
- Chok, J.C., Hamzah, Z.B., Ma, J., Ho, Y.C.(2020). Remediation on underground water pollution using Fenton Oxidation Method IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 736, 072013 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/736/7/072013
- Chokor, A.A. (2017). Adsorption-desorption Study of Heavy metals on Sandy-loam Soil of Sapele metropolis, *IOSR-Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology, and Food Technology*, 11(8): 17 – 27
- Chokor, A.A. (2021a). Total petroleum and aliphatic hydrocarbons profile of the River Niger surface water at Okpu and Iyiowa-Odekpe regions in South-Eastern, Nigeria. *Chemistry International*, 7(3): 188 – 196
- Chokor, A.A. (2021b). Impact of urban activities on the physicochemical characteristics and metals content of the Sapele section of the Benin-Ethiope river system, Delta State, Nigeria, Quest Journal of Research in Environmental and Earth Sciences, 7(3): 1 – 8.
- Chokor, A.A. (2021c). Metals'content and physicochemical characteristics of well waters in Sapele metropolis, southsouthern Nigeria, *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.* 11(2): 295 -307.

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic modelling

Science World Journal Vol. 20(No 1) 2025 www.scienceworldjournal.org ISSN: 1597-6343 (Online), ISSN: 2756-391X (Print) Published by Faculty of Science, Kaduna State University

- Chokor, A.A. (2022). Distribution and Source Fingerprinting of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Sediments of the River Niger at Okpu and Iyiowa-Odekpe Axes in South-Eastern, Nigeria, World News of Natural Sciences, 42: 151 – 168.
- Covinich, L., Felissia, F., Massa, P., Fenoglio, R., Area, M.C. (2018). Kinetic modeling of a heterogeneous Fenton-type oxidative treatment of complex industrial effluent, *International Journal of Industrial Chemistry*<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40090-018-0151</u>-6
- De Abreu Domingos, R., da Fonseca, F.V. (2018). Evaluation of adsorbent and ion exchange resins for removalof organic matter from petroleum refinery wastewaters aiming to increase water reuse. J. Environ.Manag., 214: 362–369.
- Deng. Y., Zhao, R. (2015). Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Wastewater Treatment, *Curr Pollution Rep.*, 1:167–176 DOI 10.1007/s40726-015-0015-z
- Enuneku, A.A., Ainerua M., Erhunmwunse, N.O., Osakue O.E. (2015). Total petroleum hydrocarbons in organs of commercially available fish; *Trachurus Trecae* (Cadenat, 1949) from Oliha Market, Benin City, Nigeria. *Ife Journal of Science* 17: 383–393.
- EPA (2015), Water quality assessment and TMDL information. National summary of State information, 2015.
- Esmaeili, A., Saremnia, B. (2018). Comparison study of adsorption and nanofiltration methods for removal oftotal petroleum hydrocarbons from oil-field wastewater. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 171: 403–413.
- Ezekwe, C.I., Edoghotu, M.I.(2015). Water quality and environmental health indicators in the Andoni River estuary, Eastern Niger Delta of Nigeria. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 74:6123-6136.
- Filatova, E.G., Soboleva, V.G. (2019). Extraction of oil and petroleum products from water solutions by naturaladsorbents. *Izv. Vyss. Uchebnykh Zaved. Seriya Khimiya Khimicheskaya Tekhnologiya*,62: 131–137.
- Ite, A.E., Harry, T.A., Obadimu, C.O., Asuaiko, E.R., Inim, I.J.(2018). Petroleum hydrocarbons contamination of surface water and groundwater in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. *Journal of Environment Pollution and Human Health* 6(2), 51-61. doi: 10.12691/jephh-6-2-2.
- Kponee, K. Z., Chiger, A., Kakulu, I. I., Vorhees, D., Heiger-Bernays, W. (2015). Petroleum contaminated water and health symptoms: a cross-sectional pilot study in a rural Nigerian community. *Environmental Health*, 14, 86.
- Lee, K., Boufadel, M., Chen, B., Foght, J., Hodson, P., Swanson, S., Venosa, A. (2015). Expert panel report on the behaviour and environmental impacts of crude oil released into aqueous environments. *Royal Society of Canada*, Ottawa, ON. ISBN: 978-1-928140-02-3.
- Martins, R.C., Lopes, R.J.G., Quinta-Ferreira, R.M. (2010). Lumped kinetic models for single ozonation of phenolic effluents, *Chem Eng J.*, 165(2):678–685
- Medjor, W.O., Namessan, O.N., Medjor, E. A. (2018). Optimization,kinetics, physicochemical and ecotoxicity studies of Fenton oxidative remediation of hydrocarbons contaminated groundwater. *Egypt. J. Petrol.* 27: 227–233.
- Mitsika, E.E., Christophoridis, C., Fytianos, K. (2013). Fenton andFenton-like oxidation of pesticide acetamiprid in water samples:kinetic study of the degradation and optimization using responsesurface methodology. *Chemosphere* 93(9):1818–1825

- Mohammadi, L., Rahdar, A., Bazrafshan, E., Dahmardeh, H., Susan, M.A.B., Kyzas, G.Z. (2020). Petroleum Hydrocarbon Removal from Wastewaters:A Review, *Processes*, 8:447; doi:10.3390/pr8040447
- Moussavi, G., Khosravi, R., Farzadkia, M. (2011).Removal of petroleum hydrocarbons from contaminated groundwater using anelectrocoagulation process: Batch and continuous experiments, *Desalination* 278: 288–294
- Nieto, L.M., Hodaifa, G., Rodríguez, S., Giménez, J.A., Ochando, J. (2011). Degradation of organic matter in olive-oil mill wastewaterthrough homogeneous Fenton-like reaction. *Chem Eng J.* 173(2):503–510
- Oyibo, J.N., Wegwu, M.O., Uwakwe, A.A., Osuoh, J.O., et al., (2018). Analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and risk assessment of heavy metals in some selected fin fishes at Forcados Terminal, Delta State, Nigeria. *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring and Management* 9, 128– 135.
- Radojević, M., Bashkin, V.N. (1999). Practical Environmental Analysis. *The Royal Society of ChemistryCambridge*, Pp. 158 – 266.
- Singh, S.K., Tang, W.Z. (2013). Statistical analysis of optimum Fenton oxidationconditions for landfill leachate treatment. *Waste Manag.*, 33(1):81–8. USEPA (1999). Method 1664, Revision A: N-Hexane Extractable Material (HEM; Oil and Grease) andSilica Gel Treatable N-Hexane Extractable Material (SGT-HEM; Non-polar Material) byExtraction and Gravimetry. US EPA Office of Water, Engineering and Analysis Division.Washington, DC, Feb. 1999.
- Walling, S.A., Um, W., Corkhill, C.L., Hyatt, N.C. (2021). Fenton and Fenton-like wet oxidation for degradation and destruction of organic radioactive wastes, npj Materials Degradation, 5: 50,<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-021-00192-3</u>
- Wang, J., Zhan, X., Liang, J., Zhou, L., Lin, Y., Wong, J.W.C. (2011). Anovel method forthe determination of total hydrocarbon in the hydrocarbon mixture – contaminated soil, J. Bioremed. Biodegrad., https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-6199.S2-001.
- Wang, N.N., Zheng, T., Zhang, G.S., Wang, P. A. (2016). Review on Fenton-like processes for organic wastewater treatment. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 4: 762-787

# Supplementary Information

 Table S 1: Some physicochemical properties of the Ogbe ljoh

 surface water, after contaminations with 10% kerosene and

 gasoline and treatments with Fenton's reagent

| param  | Surfa | Contaminated |       | Remediated |        | Stan  |
|--------|-------|--------------|-------|------------|--------|-------|
| eters  | се    | water        |       | water      |        | dard  |
|        | water | 10%          | 10%   | 10%        | 10%    | S     |
|        |       | Keros        | Gasol | Keros      | Gasoli |       |
|        |       | ene          | ine   | ene        | ne     |       |
| pН     | 6.90± | 7.93±        | 10.70 | 8.60±      | 10.50  | 6.5 – |
| -      | 0.02  | 0.15         | ±0.30 | 0.06       | ±0.05  | 8.5ª  |
|        |       |              |       |            |        |       |
| Turbid | 48.27 | 561.8        | 588.6 | 14.17      | 12.24  | 5ª    |
| ity    | ±0.15 | ±0.49        | 0±0.5 | ±0.12      | ±0.15  |       |
| (NTU)  |       |              | 0     |            |        |       |

Fenton oxidation de-contamination of kerosene and gasoline contaminated surface water from the Ogbe Ijoh River: process optimization and kinetic modelling

### Science World Journal Vol. 20(No 1) 2025 www.scienceworldjournal.org ISSN: 1597-6343 (Online), ISSN: 2756-391X (Print) Published by Faculty of Science, Kaduna State University

| DO                | 7.20± | 4.10± | 3.40± | 5.70± | 6.20±  |                  |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------------------|
| (mg/L)            | 1.03  | 0.45  | 0.27  | 0.10  | 0.17   |                  |
| BODs              | 4.65± | 6.50± | 7.40± | 5.80± | 7.50±  |                  |
| (mg/L)            | 0.15  | 0.21  | 0.20  | 0.06  | 0.25   |                  |
| CODs              | 17.27 | 27.00 | 31.50 | 6.70± | 6.80±  |                  |
| (mg/L)            | ±0.00 | ±0.2  | ±0.22 | 0.10  | 1.15   |                  |
| TPHs(             | 0.437 | 4820. | 5208. | 438.6 | 306.7  | 0.3              |
| mg/L)             | ±0.07 | 4±3.4 | 4±4.5 | ±3.50 | ±5.10  |                  |
|                   |       | 0     | 0     |       |        |                  |
| TDS               | 62.54 | 104.0 | 106.1 | 20.35 | 22.60  | 500ª             |
| (mg/L)            | ±1.53 | 0±0.2 | 0±0.3 | ±0.06 | ±0.25  |                  |
|                   |       | 7     | 5     |       |        |                  |
| TSS               | 1.56± | 1.70± | 1.71± | 1.60± | 1.64±  |                  |
| (mg/L)            | 0.04  | 0.30  | 0.36  | 0.10  | 0.14   |                  |
| ĊI                | 117.2 | 142.2 | 182.3 | 142.2 | 120.3  | 250ª             |
| (mg/L)            | 5±0.0 | 6±0.3 | 0±0.3 | 0±0.3 | 0±0.1  |                  |
|                   |       | 3     | 4     | 4     | 9      |                  |
| Cond.             | 163.1 | 147.3 | 133.0 | 956.1 | 1045.  | 100              |
| (µs/c             | 0±0.1 | 0±0.4 | 0±0.5 | 7±0.1 | 10±0.  | 0 <sup>a</sup>   |
| m)                | 0     | 0     | 0     | 2     | 55     |                  |
| Phosp             | 0.38± | 0.53± | 0.52± | 0.66± | 0.68±. |                  |
| hates             | 0.11  | 0.02  | 0.08  | 0.03  | 04     |                  |
| NH <sub>3</sub> ( | 0.67± | 0.33± | 0.26± | 0.08± | 0.04±  |                  |
| mg/L)             | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.02  | 0.01  | 0.02   |                  |
| NO <sub>3</sub> - | 6.00± | 11.00 | 15.50 | 8.00± | 8.00±  | 50 <sup>ab</sup> |
| (mg/L)            | 0.28  | ±0.27 | ±0.30 | 0.16  | 0.15   |                  |
| Τ.                | 118.6 | 102.5 | 116.4 | 21.64 | 23.20  | 30 -             |
| Alkali            | 7±0.1 | ±0.71 | 8±1.2 | ±4.00 | ±1.30  | 500              |
| nity              | 5     |       | 4     |       |        |                  |
| (mg/L             |       |       |       |       |        |                  |
| CaCO              |       |       |       |       |        |                  |
| 3)                |       |       |       |       |        |                  |
| Cd                | 0.13± | 0.13± | 0.14± | 0.11± | 0.13±  | 0.00             |
| (mg/L)            | 0.001 | 0.01  | 0.03  | 0.01  | 0.01   | 3 <sup>ab</sup>  |
|                   |       |       |       |       |        |                  |
| Cr                | 0.09± | 0.11± | 0.13± | 0.11± | 0.13±  | 0.05             |
| (mg/L)            | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01   | ab               |
| ,                 |       |       |       |       |        |                  |
| Ni                | 0.31± | 0.39± | 0.30± | 0.04± | 0.44±  |                  |
| (mg/L)            | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.02  | 0.02   |                  |
| Pb                | 0.07± | 0.09± | 0.04± | 0.09± | 0.14±  | 0.01             |
| (mg/L)            | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.03  | 0.01  | 0.02   | ab               |
| ,                 |       |       |       |       |        |                  |
| V                 | 0.01± | 0.02± | 0.04± | 0.01± | 0.03±  |                  |
| (mg/L)            | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.02  | 0.00  | 0.01   |                  |

a = Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ), b = World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for drinking water quality