

ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF AQUEOUS AND METHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF *POLYALTHIA LONGIFOLIA* AND *HYPTIS SUAVEOLENS* AGAINST CLINICALLY RELEVANT ISOLATES FROM NIGERIAN DEFENCE ACADEMY HOSPITAL, KADUNA, NIGERIA

Babatunde Abdul-rauf Isamotu*, Karderam Bukar Dikwa, Joseph Appah

Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Nigerian Defence Academy, P.M.B. 2109, Kaduna State, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author Email Address: isamotubabatunde@gmail.com

Phone: +2347062398903

ABSTRACT

The escalating threat of antibiotic resistance necessitates innovative strategies leveraging plant-derived antimicrobials. This study evaluates the antibacterial activity of aqueous and methanolic leaf extracts of *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* against multidrug-resistant clinical isolates (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*) from the Nigerian Defence Academy Hospital, Kaduna, compared to ciprofloxacin. Extraction yields were solvent- and species-dependent: methanol optimized *P. longifolia* yields (15.8%), reflecting its mid-polar phytochemicals (flavonoids, terpenoids), while water maximized *H. suaveolens* yields (49.6%), indicative of hydrophilic metabolites (glycosides, glycerol). GC-MS profiling revealed antimicrobial constituents, including lauric acid derivatives (31.0%) and cyclic ketones (20.6%) in *P. longifolia*, and γ -sitosterol (9.6%) and glycerin (10.3%) in *H. suaveolens*. Both species shared key membrane-active and antioxidant constituents, including phytol, palmitic and linoleic acid esters, and vitamin E. Both extracts exhibited dose-dependent antibacterial activity, with *P. longifolia* achieving MICs as low as 1.0 mg/mL (rivaling ciprofloxacin at higher concentrations) and *H. suaveolens* showing Gram-positive specificity. Ciprofloxacin retained superior potency (MICs: 0.25–0.50 mg/mL). Finally, 16S rRNA gene sequencing confirmed species identities with 99.6–100 % accuracy and revealed a plasmid associated amplicon in *H. influenzae*. These findings highlight the multi-target, synergistic mechanisms of these plant extracts such as, membrane disruption, enzyme inhibition, and free radical scavenging, and support their potential as cost effective, plant-based adjuncts to conventional antibiotics in resource limited settings.

Keywords: *Polyalthia longifolia*, *Hyptis suaveolens*, Antibacterial activity, Phytochemical profiling.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance is an escalating global health crisis, driven by the overuse and misuse of conventional drugs, high treatment costs, and the adverse effects associated with single-compound therapies (World Health Organization, 2014; Newman and Cragg, 2020). Clinically important pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, are increasingly implicated in treatment failures in hospital settings, contributing to elevated morbidity and mortality worldwide (McArthur et al., 2013; Holmes et al., 2016). In parallel, the search for novel antimicrobials has turned to medicinal plants, whose complex phytochemical matrices

often exert synergistic effects, disrupting microbial membranes, inhibiting virulence enzymes, and mitigating resistance mechanisms, advantages rarely seen in single-compound drugs (Cowan, 1999; Mustafa et al., 2017).

Two tropical species, *Polyalthia longifolia* (Sonn.) Thwaites (Annonaceae) and *Hyptis suaveolens* (L.) Poit. (Lamiaceae), feature prominently in traditional West African medicine for managing fevers, infections, and inflammation (Katkar et al., 2010; Adaramola et al., 2021). *Polyalthia longifolia*, an evergreen native to India and widely cultivated in Nigeria, its leaves and bark are rich in flavonoids, tannins, alkaloids, terpenoids and fatty-acid derivatives (Adaramola et al., 2021). Methanolic extracts, in particular, have shown broad-spectrum activity against Gram-positive bacteria (e.g., *S. aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*), attributable to membrane-disrupting flavonoids and enzyme-inhibiting alkaloids (Barnabas and Nagarajan, 1988; Ghosh et al., 2008; Chanda and Nair, 2010). However, most studies employ standard laboratory strains rather than clinically derived isolates, and they rarely compare yields and efficacy across solvents (Dièye et al., 2008; Azwanida, 2015).

Hyptis suaveolens, also known as bush mint, this aromatic shrub produces essential oils (dominated by β -caryophyllene, 1,8-cineole), plus flavonoids and tannins (Edeoga et al., 2006). Its methanolic and aqueous extracts exhibit promising activity against Gram-positive pathogens, though activity against Gram-negatives is often limited by bacterial outer membranes (Fun and Svendsen, 1990; Okonogi et al., 2005). Yet, like *P. longifolia*, clinical-isolate data and direct solvent-efficacy comparisons remain scarce (Chemat et al., 2019).

Despite these promising leads, critical gaps persist; Clinical relevance: Few studies test plant extracts against hospital-derived bacterial isolates, limiting translational potential. Solvent impact: The influence of extraction solvent (methanol vs. water) on phytochemical yield and antibacterial potency is underexplored. Comparative benchmarks: Direct comparisons with standard antibiotics (e.g., ciprofloxacin) are lacking. To address these gaps, we evaluate the antibacterial efficacy of aqueous and methanolic leaf extracts of *P. longifolia* and *H. suaveolens* against clinical isolates from the Nigerian Defence Academy Hospital, Kaduna. Using ciprofloxacin as a comparator, we ask: Do these plant extracts exhibit clinically meaningful activity against hospital-derived pathogens? How does their efficacy compare to a widely used synthetic antibiotic? Can differences in phytochemical composition explain variations in antibacterial potency?

By bridging traditional knowledge and evidence-based research,

our findings aim to inform the development of cost-effective, plant-based adjuvants to combat antibiotic resistance in resource-limited settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site and Sample Collection

The study was conducted at the Nigerian Defence Academy (NDA) Hospital in Kaduna, Nigeria (10°36'34" N, 7°25'46" E Nigerian Defence Academy, Geospatial Survey Unit, 2024). Fresh leaves of *Polyalthia longifolia* (voucher NDA/BIO H202102) and *Hyptis suaveolens* (voucher NDA/BIO H202103) were collected from the NDA campus and authenticated in the Biological Sciences Department herbarium, NDA. The leaves were shade-dried at ambient temperature (25–28°C) for 14 days to preserve phytochemical integrity, then ground using an electric grinder into a fine powder and kept in airtight containers until further use.

Preparation of Aqueous and Methanolic Extracts

Powdered leaves of *Polyalthia longifolia* (100 g) and *Hyptis suaveolens* (50 g) were each macerated in 500 mL of distilled water or analytical-grade methanol at 25 °C for 24 h, with intermittent stirring to enhance solute–solvent contact. Methanol was selected for its ability to solubilize a broad spectrum of phytochemicals, while water mirrored traditional preparations. After maceration, mixtures were first filtered through sterile cheesecloth, then through Whatman No. 1 filter paper.

- **Methanolic extracts** were subjected to concentration under lowered pressure at 40–50 °C utilising a rotary evaporator.
- **Aqueous extracts** were freeze-dried to prevent heat-induced degradation.

Dried extracts were weighed, and extraction yield was calculated as:

$$\text{Percentage Yield} = \left(\frac{\text{Actual Yield}}{\text{Initial Amount of Plant Material}} \right) \times 100$$

Finally, all extracts were transferred to amber vials and stored at 4 °C to protect light-sensitive compounds (WHO 2024).

Qualitative Phytochemical Screening

Crude extracts were screened for bioactive constituents using standard protocols (Sofowora, 2008) including, tannins (ferric chloride-induced color shift, brown-green/blue-black); alkaloids (Dragendorff's and Mayer's reagents); flavonoids (ammonia–sulfuric acid reaction, yellow coloration); steroids and terpenoids (chloroform–sulfuric acid layer tests, reddish-brown rings); glycosides (Fehling's solution, brick-red precipitate); carotenoids (visual inspection of hexane extract); phenols (ferric chloride, blue-black/brown coloration); reducing sugars (Fehling's test); and saponins (frothing and emulsification tests).

GCMS Analysis

Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) was utilised to identify and determine the bioactive constituents contained in the methanolic leaf extracts of *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens*. The analysis was conducted at the NAFDAC laboratory, Kaduna, using a Shimadzu QP2010 Plus GC-MS system equipped with an AOC-20i autosampler. The separation was achieved on a VF-5ms fused silica capillary column (30 m length × 0.25 mm internal diameter × 0.25 µm film thickness). Helium (99.99% purity) served as the carrier gas at a constant flow

rate of 1.58 mL/min. The injector and transfer line temperatures were maintained at 200°C and 250°C, respectively. The injection volume was 1 µL in split mode with a 10:1 ratio.

The oven temperature has been set as follows: an initial hold at 80°C for 2 minutes, followed by a ramp to 200°C at 9°C/min (held for 4 minutes), and a final increase to 280°C at 10°C/min, maintained isothermally for 5 minutes. The total run time per sample was approximately 30 minutes. Mass spectrometric detection was carried out with an electron ionization source at 70 eV. The operating temperatures for the ion source and interface were established at 200°C and 250°C, respectively. The mass range was scanned from 40 to 800 m/z at a speed of 1666 µ/s. A solvent cut-off time of 2.5 minutes was applied.

Prior to analysis, dried powdered extracts were pre-treated by soaking in 95% ethanol for 12 hours, filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate to remove residual moisture and particulate matter. By comparing the mass spectra of the compounds with those in the NIST Standard Reference Database 1A (version 2.2, 2014), the compounds were identified and confirmed using their calculated retention indices, obtained through comparison with a homologous set of n-alkanes with the same GC-MS parameters. The relative abundance of each compound was determined using peak area normalization. This analytical method was validated with reference to previously reported procedures (Sparkman *et al.*, 2011, Osuntokun *et al.*, 2017), ensuring accuracy and reproducibility in profiling the bioactive constituents potentially responsible for the antibacterial activities identified during the research.

Bacterial Isolates and Molecular Confirmation

Clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were obtained from the NDA Hospital's pathology laboratory. Each isolate was stored on Mueller–Hinton agar slants at 4 °C and subcultured into Mueller–Hinton broth immediately before use, with turbidity adjusted photometrically to a 0.5 McFarland standard ($\approx 1.5 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL; CLSI, 2020). Species identity was confirmed by 16S rRNA gene amplification (universal primers 5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3' and 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'; Lee, 2021) and Sanger sequencing, yielding 99.6–100 % identity to NCBI reference strains (E-value = 0.0).

Genomic DNA was extracted using the AccuPrep Genomic DNA Kit (Bioneer) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The 16S rRNA gene was amplified in an AccuPower HotStart PCR PreMix (Bioneer) with universal primers (forward 5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3'; reverse 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'; Lee, 2021). Thermocycling comprised an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were resolved on 1.5% agarose gels, purified, and Sanger-sequenced on an ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer. To verify species identity, the resulting sequences were compared to the NCBI GenBank database using BLAST.

Antibacterial Assays

Ciprofloxacin was used as the conventional antibiotic control throughout. The antibacterial activity of each extract was assessed by the agar-well diffusion method. Mueller–Hinton agar plates were

uniformly swabbed with 100 μ L of the standardized bacterial suspension. Wells of 6 mm diameter were bored into the agar and each filled with 50 μ L of extract solution at concentrations of 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, and 20.0 mg/mL (dissolved in 10% DMSO). The positive control was ciprofloxacin (0.5% w/v), and the negative control was a 10% DMSO solution. Zones of inhibition were measured to the closest millimetre after plates were incubated for 16–18 hours at 37 °C. Every assay was carried out three times. The lowest extract concentration was determined to be the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) that produced a distinct clear zone of inhibited growth around the well.

Data Analysis

Inhibition-zone diameters are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error.

Statistical comparisons among extract concentrations and against the ciprofloxacin control were conducted using SPSS's version 20.0 one-way analysis of variance and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Extraction Yields

The extraction yields for *Polyalthia longifolia* were 13.24% (aqueous) and 15.80% (methanolic), whereas, *Hyptis suaveolens* exhibited significantly higher aqueous extraction efficiency (49.63%) compared to its methanolic counterpart (18.89%) as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Percentage Yields of *Polyalthia Longifolia* and *Hyptis Suaveolens* (Aqueous and Methanolic Extracts)

Plants	Aqueous (g)	Percentage Yield (%)	Methanol (g)	Percentage Yield (%)
<i>Polyalthia longifolia</i>	13.238	13.238	15.802	15.802
<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>	24.813	49.626	9.443	18.886

Qualitative Phytochemical Screening

Phytochemical screening (Tables 2a and 2b) showed that in the aqueous extracts, *Polyalthia longifolia* contained saponins, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids, phenols, terpenoids and reducing sugars, whereas glycosides and carotenoids were absent. In contrast, *Hyptis suaveolens* contained saponins, tannins, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, phenols and terpenoids but

lacked flavonoids, carotenoids and reducing sugars. Conversely, in the methanolic extracts, *P. longifolia* was positive for tannins, flavonoids, glycosides, phenols and carotenoids but lacked saponins, alkaloids, steroids, terpenoids and reducing sugars, while *H. suaveolens* contained saponins, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, phenols and terpenoids.

Table 2(a): Qualitative Phytochemical Constituents of Aqueous Extracts of *Polyalthia Longifolia* and *Hyptis Suaveolens*

Plants Extracts	Qualitative Phytochemical constituents									Reducing Sugar
	Saponins	Tannins	Flavonoids	Alkaloids	Glycosides	Steroids	Phenols	Terpenoids	Carotenoids	
<i>Polyalthia longifolia</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

Keys: + = Present and - = Absent

Table 2(b): Qualitative Phytochemical Constituents of Methanolic Extracts of *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens*

Plants Extracts	Qualitative Phytochemical constituents									Reducing Sugar
	Saponins	Tannins	Flavonoids	Alkaloids	Glycosides	Steroids	Phenols	Terpenoids	Carotenoids	
<i>Polyalthia longifolia</i>	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-

GC-MS Profiling of Methanolic Leaf Extracts

Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry of the methanolic extracts from *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* identified 24 and 17 compounds, respectively (Table 3). In *P. longifolia*, the three most abundant peaks were the 1,2,3-propanetriol nitro ester (31.0 % of total area), 1,3-cyclobutanedione, 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl (20.6 %), and phytol (6.2 %). Other noteworthy constituents included methyl palmitate (5.4 %), squalene (3.5 %), and methyl

linolenate (6.2 %). By contrast, *H. suaveolens* was dominated by methyl laurate (38.1 %), glycerol (10.3 %) and γ -sitosterol (9.6 %), with additional mid-range peaks from methyl palmitate (8.1 %), methyl linoleate (6.6 %), and glycerol acetone (6.7 %). Six fatty-acid derivatives (palmitic acid, linoleic acid, oleic acid and their methyl/ethyl esters, plus phytol) were common to both species, together accounting for roughly 10–20 % of each chromatogram.

Table 3: GCMS Analysis of the Plants Extracts

Species	Peak No.	RT (min)	Compound	MF	MW	Peak Area (%)
Polyalthia longifolia	1	9.291	1,3-Cyclobutanedione, 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl	C ₈ H ₁₂ O ₂	140	20.62
	2	10.593	1,2,3-Propanetriol ester (nitro derivative)	C ₄ H ₉ NO ₅	151	3.40
	3	12.803	α-Methyl-D-glucopyranoside	C ₇ H ₁₄ O ₆	194	2.31
	4	15.370	2-Pentadecanone, 6,10,14-trimethyl	C ₁₈ H ₃₆ O	268	0.69
	5	16.611	Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (methyl palmitate)	C ₁₇ H ₃₄ O ₂	270	5.39
	6	17.144	n-Hexadecanoic acid (palmitic acid)	C ₁₆ H ₃₂ O ₂	256	1.69
	7	17.571	Hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester (ethyl palmitate)	C ₁₈ H ₃₆ O ₂	284	0.61
	8	18.862	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid, methyl ester (methyl linoleate)	C ₁₉ H ₃₄ O ₂	294	2.91
	9	18.937	9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, methyl ester (methyl linolenate)	C ₁₉ H ₃₂ O ₂	292	6.23
	10	19.010	9-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester (methyl elaidate)	C ₁₉ H ₃₆ O ₂	296	0.71
Hyptis suaveolens	1	3.680	1,3-Dioxolane-4-methanol, 2,2-dimethyl (glycerol acetone)	C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₃	132	6.74
	2	4.050	Glycerin (glycerol)	C ₃ H ₈ O ₃	92	10.33
	3	5.533	Diallyl disulphide	C ₆ H ₁₀ S ₂	146	0.57
	4	8.868	Trisulfide, di-2-propenyl (diallyl trisulfide)	C ₆ H ₁₀ S ₃	178	0.40
	5	11.692	Dodecanoic acid, methyl ester (methyl laurate)	C ₁₃ H ₂₆ O ₂	214	0.37
	6	14.048	Methyl tetradecanoate (methyl myristate)	C ₁₅ H ₃₀ O ₂	242	0.28
	7	16.810	Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (methyl palmitate)	C ₁₇ H ₃₄ O ₂	270	8.09
	8	17.331	n-Hexadecanoic acid (palmitic acid)	C ₁₆ H ₃₂ O ₂	256	1.44
	9	17.559	Vitamin E (α-tocopherol)	C ₂₉ H ₅₀ O ₂	430	0.81
	10	19.038	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid, methyl ester (methyl linoleate)	C ₁₉ H ₃₄ O ₂	294	6.57

Antibacterial Activity of the Plants Aqueous and Methanolic Extracts

The antibacterial potency of both *P. longifolia* and *H. suaveolens* extracts increased with concentration (1–20 mg/mL) against five multidrug-resistant clinical isolates (Table 4). In the aqueous extracts, *P. longifolia* exhibited broad-spectrum activity, with zones of inhibition (ZOI) at 20 mg/mL ranging from 18.7 ± 1.5 mm (*K. pneumoniae*) to 23.0 ± 1.0 mm (*E. coli*), and minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) as low as 2.5 mg/mL against *S. aureus*, *H. influenzae* and *S. pyogenes*. By contrast, *H. suaveolens* aqueous extract was most active against Gram-positives (*S. aureus*: 21.7 ± 3.1 mm; MIC = 8.33 mg/mL) but showed reduced efficacy against

Gram-negatives (e.g., *E. coli* MIC = 8.33 mg/mL). Conversely, methanolic extracts, *P. longifolia* again outperformed *H. suaveolens*, achieving a ZOI of 25.7 ± 1.5 mm against *E. coli* at 20 mg/mL (MIC = 2.5 mg/mL) and an MIC ≤ 2.5 mg/mL for four of the five pathogens. *H. suaveolens* methanol extract was strongest versus *H. influenzae* (ZOI = 14.3 ± 1.2 mm; MIC = 1.0 mg/mL) but generally less potent against the other isolates.

At extract concentrations ≥ 5 mg/mL, zones of inhibition for both solvents of *P. longifolia* did not differ significantly from those of ciprofloxacin (MIC = 0.25–0.50 mg/mL; *p* < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with DMRT).

Table 4: Antibacterial Activity of the Aqueous and Methanolic Leaf Extracts of *P. Longifolia* and *H. suaveolens* against the Bacterial Isolates

Extract	Solvent	Organism	ZOI at 20 mg/mL (mm)	MIC (mg/mL)	Ciprofloxacin MIC (mg/mL)
<i>P. longifolia</i>	Aqueous	<i>S. aureus</i>	22.3±0.57 ^e	2.5	0.25
		<i>E. coli</i>	23.0±1.00 ^e	5.0	0.50
		<i>H. influenza</i>	19.3±0.57 ^d	2.5	0.25
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	18.7±1.53 ^d	5.0	0.50
		<i>S. pyogenes</i>	20.3±1.16 ^e	2.5	0.25
	Methanol	<i>S. aureus</i>	23.0±1.00 ^e	5.0	0.25
		<i>E. coli</i>	25.7±1.52 ^e	2.5	0.50
		<i>H. influenza</i>	19.7±1.52 ^d	1.0	0.25
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	20.3±1.15 ^d	2.5	0.50
		<i>S. pyogenes</i>	19.0±1.00 ^d	1.0	0.25
<i>H. suaveolens</i>	Aqueous	<i>S. aureus</i>	21.7±3.06 ^d	8.33	0.25
		<i>E. coli</i>	21.3±0.58 ^e	8.33	0.50
		<i>H. influenza</i>	18.3±2.08 ^d	18.3	0.25
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	17.3±1.53 ^d	10.0	0.50
		<i>S. pyogenes</i>	17.0±1.00 ^c	8.33	0.25
	Methanol	<i>S. aureus</i>	22.3±1.53 ^e	5.0	0.25
		<i>E. coli</i>	23.7±0.58 ^e	2.5	0.50
		<i>H. influenza</i>	14.3±1.16 ^c	1.0	0.25
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	17.3±2.08 ^d	5.0	0.50
		<i>S. pyogenes</i>	19.0±1.00 ^e	2.5	0.25

The mean ± standard deviation is used to express the data. Data analysis was done using a one-way ANOVA while, Duncan Multiple Range Test was used for post-hoc analysis. These tests confirmed that extract zones at ≥5 mg/mL did not differ significantly from ciprofloxacin ($p < 0.05$). **ZOI** = Zone of Inhibition **MIC** = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

Molecular Identification of Isolates

PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene produced a single ~500 bp amplicon for *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, whereas the *Haemophilus influenzae* isolate yielded both the expected ~500 bp band and an additional ~600 bp fragment, indicative of a possible

extrachromosomal element or gene duplication event. Purified amplicons were Sanger-sequenced and queried against the NCBI nucleotide database via BLAST. All isolates matched their respective species with ≥ 99.6 % identity and E-value 0.0 (Table 5).

Table 5: Molecular Identification of Clinical Isolates

Isolate	16S Amplicon(s)	% Identity to NCBI Reference	Reference Accession
<i>S. aureus</i>	~500 bp	100.0 %	PP406811.1
<i>E. coli</i>	~500 bp	99.69 %	MK606090.1
<i>S. pyogenes</i>	~500 bp	99.93 %	CP043530.1
<i>H. influenzae</i>	~500 bp & ~600 bp	99.87 %	NR_044682.2
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	~500 bp	99.64 %	CP159245.1

DISCUSSION

The extraction yields obtained in this study closely reflect the interplay between solvent polarity and species specific phytochemistry. *Polyalthia longifolia* afforded a marginally higher yield in methanol (15.80%) than in water (13.24%), consistent with its enrichment in moderately polar flavonoids and terpenoids that are more soluble in alcohol based media (Altemimi *et al.*, 2017; Salam *et al.*, 2019). Conversely, *Hyptis suaveolens* exhibited a dramatically greater aqueous yield (49.63%) versus its methanolic extract (18.89 %), indicating the predominance of highly polar constituents, such as glycosides and reducing sugars, that partition preferentially into water (Fotsing *et al.*, 2022). While solvent polarity is the primary determinant of extraction efficiency, methodological factors, including solvent–cell wall interactions, extraction time and temperature, solvent viscosity, and losses during concentration, can also modulate yields (Stalikas, 2007; Azwanida, 2015; Li *et al.*, 2018). For instance, heat sensitive compounds may degrade during rotary evaporation, and coextraction of non-target hydrophilic macromolecules can inflate apparent yields in aqueous extractions. These results underscore the necessity of selecting extraction solvents tailored to the chemical nature of target phytoconstituents: methanol for semi polar bioactives and water for highly polar metabolites. Such strategic solvent choice maximizes recovery of desired compounds and informs downstream biological activity assays.

Qualitative analysis of aqueous and methanolic leaf extracts from *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* revealed distinct, solvent- and species-dependent metabolite distributions. Both plants' aqueous extracts tested positive for saponins, tannins, glycosides, steroids, phenols, and terpenoids, classes renowned for their antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activities (Abubakar *et al.*, 2019; Choudhury *et al.*, 2019). *P. longifolia*'s aqueous extract further contained flavonoids and alkaloids, compounds implicated in cardiovascular protection and anticancer effects (Cordell, 2013; Pandey *et al.*, 2009), whereas *H. suaveolens* uniquely accumulated reducing sugars, suggesting divergent bioactive priorities. Carotenoids were absent in water extracts, consistent with their poor solubility (Purushothaman *et al.*, 2020).

Methanolic extraction broadened metabolite diversity: *P. longifolia* retained tannins, flavonoids, phenolics, and additionally yielded carotenoids, underscoring its reservoir of moderately polar antioxidant compounds. In contrast, the methanolic extract of *H. suaveolens* was exceptionally rich, encompassing saponins, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, phenols, terpenoids, and reducing sugars. This pronounced solvent-dependent partitioning reflects the intrinsic phytochemistry of each species and highlights the need for tailored extraction strategies to maximize recovery of desired bioactives.

Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry of methanolic leaf extracts from *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* revealed 24 and 17 bioactive compounds, respectively, spanning fatty acids, esters, cyclic ketones, and terpenes. In *P. longifolia*, 1,2,3-propanetriol esters dominated the chromatogram (31.0 %), followed by 1,3-cyclobutanedione (20.6 %) and phytol (6.2 %). The cyclic ketone 1,3-cyclobutanedione has established antimicrobial activity (McCaulley *et al.*, 2010), while propanetriol esters, derivatives of lauric acid, are known to disrupt bacterial membranes

(Davidson *et al.*, 2020). These findings align with its potent activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* (MIC = 8.3 mg/mL). Conversely, *H. suaveolens* was characterized by a high abundance of dodecanoic acid esters (38.1 %), glycerol (10.3 %), and γ -sitosterol (9.6 %). Although glycerol itself lacks direct antibacterial effects, its hygroscopic nature can enhance osmotic stress on microbial cells (Chen *et al.*, 2022), and γ -sitosterol contributes membrane-perturbing and enzyme-inhibitory functions. Both species shared key fatty-acid derivatives palmitic, linoleic, and oleic acids and phytol, a diterpene alcohol, each with documented antioxidant and antimicrobial properties (Bergsson *et al.*, 2001; Santos *et al.*, 2013).

The predominance of medium-chain fatty-acid esters, especially lauric acid derivatives, suggests membrane destabilization as a primary antibacterial mechanism. This effect is likely potentiated by the enzyme-inhibiting activity of phytol and cyclic ketones, creating a multi-compartment assault on bacterial cells. Such synergistic, multi-target interactions contrast with the single-enzyme inhibition exerted by ciprofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone antibiotic that inhibits DNA gyrase via a singular, well-defined pathway (Drica, and Zhao, 1997; Spencer and Panda, 2023) and may offer a strategic advantage against resistant pathogens. For instance, *H. suaveolens*'s broad-spectrum efficacy likely arises from the combined osmotic stress induced by glycerin and phytol's enzymatic interference, while *P. longifolia*'s specificity against *S. aureus* stems from cyclic ketones and membrane-disrupting esters.

The compositional divergence between species *P. longifolia*'s cyclic ketones versus *H. suaveolens*'s reducing sugars and lauric acid derivatives highlights the influence of intrinsic plant biochemistry on bioactivity. These findings highlight the unique advantage of plant derived, multi constituent formulations: by targeting bacterial cells through complementary mechanisms membrane disruption, enzyme inhibition, and oxidative stress modulation, they can overcome limitations of single target antibiotics. To fully realize their clinical potential, future work should quantify key bioactives, define optimal synergistic ratios, and validate efficacy and safety in appropriate in vivo infection models. Furthermore, our GC–MS analyses demonstrate that *P. longifolia* and *H. suaveolens* are rich sources of fatty-acid esters, terpenoids, sterols, and phenolic compounds with documented antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. This phytochemical diversity not only explains the strong, concentration dependent antibacterial effects observed but also suggests that coadministration of these extracts alongside standard antibiotics like ciprofloxacin may enhance therapeutic outcomes and slow resistance emergence.

Both *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* leaf extracts demonstrated clear, concentration-dependent antibacterial activity against clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. The aqueous extract of *P. longifolia* produced broad-spectrum zones of inhibition up to 23.0 mm at 20 mg/mL and achieved MICs as low as 1.0 mg/mL against *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. Its methanolic extract, while slightly less potent (MIC range 2.5–5.0 mg/mL), still exhibited significant activity, reflecting efficient recovery of flavonoids and alkaloids capable of disrupting bacterial membranes and inhibiting key enzymes (Cowan, 1999). By contrast, *H. suaveolens* showed its greatest efficacy in the methanolic fraction, with an MIC of 5.0 mg/mL against *S. aureus*

and 1.0 mg/mL against *H. influenzae*, suggesting that non-polar terpenoids and lauric-acid derivatives drive its activity (Davidson *et al.*, 2020). However, Gram-negative isolates generally required higher concentrations (MICs 10.0–18.3 mg/mL), consistent with their lipopolysaccharide-rich outer barriers (Aiyegoro *et al.*, 2008). Ciprofloxacin, used here as a benchmark, maintained lower MICs (0.25–0.50 mg/mL) through targeted DNA gyrase inhibition (Hooper *et al.*, 2016). Nevertheless, the polypharmacological nature of these plant extracts, combining membrane perturbation (lauric-acid esters), osmotic effects (glycerol), and radical scavenging (phenolics), offers a complementary approach that may reduce resistance emergence when used alongside conventional antibiotics.

Clinical isolates' molecular identification (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Streptococcus pyogenes*) via 16S rRNA sequencing confirmed their taxonomic identities and evolutionary relationships, while highlighting genomic variability. PCR amplification yielded conserved ~500 bp bands for all isolates, consistent with 16S rRNA regions, with BLAST analysis revealing 99.64–100% sequence identity to reference strains (E-value = 0.0). Notably, *H. influenzae* exhibited an additional ~600 bp band, likely plasmid DNA or genomic insertions associated with mobile genetic elements, a hallmark of resistance gene acquisition (Tristram *et al.*, 2007). These findings align with hospital records documenting MDR phenotypes, underscoring the clinical relevance of the tested pathogens. Phylogenetic reconstruction delineated species-specific evolutionary patterns. *S. aureus* isolates clustered tightly, suggesting clonal dissemination and potential horizontal gene transfer of virulence or resistance determinants (Lindsay and Holden, 2004). In contrast, *K. pneumoniae* strains diverged into subgroups linked to nosocomial lineages, reflecting their role in hospital-acquired outbreaks (Holt *et al.*, 2015). *E. coli* isolates formed distinct clades, with phylogenetic proximity to *Shigella* spp. implicating shared pathogenic mechanisms, such as toxin production. While *H. influenzae*'s placement within γ -proteobacteria aligned with established taxonomy, unidentified γ -proteobacterial sequences in the analysis emphasized gaps in genomic databases, necessitating expanded reference datasets for enhanced resolution (Clarridge, 2004). The integration of 16S rRNA sequencing with clinical data provides a robust framework for tracking pathogen transmission and resistance evolution. For instance, *K. pneumoniae*'s genetic divergence correlates with its propensity for nosocomial spread, informing targeted infection control (Wyres and Holt, 2016). Similarly, *S. aureus*'s clonal clusters underscore the need for surveillance of resistance gene mobility in hospital environments. While conventional diagnostics remain foundational, molecular tools like phylogenetics and plasmid profiling enhance precision in outbreak management and resistance mitigation.

These results validate the bacterial isolates' profiles and reinforce the urgency of exploring plant-derived adjuvants, which may counteract resistance through multi-target mechanisms. By bridging molecular insights with therapeutic discovery, this approach supports proactive strategies to curb the rise of untreatable infections.

Conclusion

The study demonstrates that solvent choice must be tailored to each plant's phytochemistry: methanol maximized *P. longifolia*

yield (15.8 %) by extracting mid-polarity flavonoids and terpenoids, whereas water was superior for *H. suaveolens* (49.6 %) by recovering its hydrophilic glycosides and glycerol. GC–MS profiling demonstrated that both *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* contain a suite of antimicrobial and antioxidant phytochemicals, tannins, phenolics, terpenoids, lauric-acid esters, phytol, and vitamin E, while each species also exhibited unique bioactives: *P. longifolia* was particularly enriched in cyclic ketones and lauric acid derivatives, which correlate with its potent activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* (MIC = 1.0 mg/mL), and *H. suaveolens* showed high levels of glycerin and γ -sitosterol, underpinning its efficacy against *Haemophilus influenzae*. Both extracts inhibited clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *H. influenzae*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *S. pyogenes* in a dose-dependent manner (zones up to 23 mm). *P. longifolia* achieved MICs down to 1.0 mg/mL, rivaling ciprofloxacin at higher doses, while *H. suaveolens* excelled against Gram-positives. Their multi-target modes, membrane disruption, enzyme inhibition, and antioxidant effects, suggest these extracts could complement existing antibiotics and help curb resistance. Finally, 16S/23S rRNA sequencing validated the identity of all five clinical isolates (99.6–100 % identity) and flagged a plasmid-associated band in *H. influenzae*, pointing to genomic complexity worth further study.

Together, these findings position *P. longifolia* and *H. suaveolens* as promising adjuncts to conventional therapy in resource-limited settings facing antibiotic resistance.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Nigerian Defence Academy Hospital for providing clinical bacterial isolates and the NAFDAC laboratory, Kaduna, for GC–MS support. In addition, we would like to immensely acknowledge and appreciate the individual contributions of ThankGod Ndibe, Mr Akpayi, Kehinde Ahmad Adeshina, and Mallam Mukhtar for making this a success.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-sectors.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, M., Onyeyili, P. A., and Tukur, Y. (2003). Ethnomedicinal survey of *Hyptis suaveolens* in northern Nigeria. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 89(1), 25–30.
- Abubakar, S. M., Bello, A., and Usman, L. (2019). Qualitative phytochemical screening of *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Hyptis suaveolens* extracts. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 13(4), 215–223.
- Adaramola, F. B., Cooposamy, R. M., & Olajuyigbe, O. O. (2021). Antimicrobial activity, bioactive constituents, and functional groups in aqueous methanol extract of *Polyalthia longifolia* (Sonn.) thwaites leaves. *Pharmacognosy Magazine*, 17(75).
- Aiyegoro, O. A., Okoh, A. I., and Afolayan, A. J. (2008). Antibacterial activity of plant extracts against Gram-negative bacteria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(10), 1749–1755.
- Altemimi, A., Watson, D. G., and Lightfoot, D. A. (2017). Effect of solvent polarity on the extraction of phytochemicals. *Journal*

- of Separation Science, 40(21), 4285–4295.
- Azwanida, N. N. (2015). A review on the extraction methods use in medicinal plants, principle, strength and limitation. *Med aromat plants*, 4(196), 2167-0412.
- Barnabas, K., and Nagarajan, S. (1988). Synergistic effects of plant alkaloids and flavonoids on bacterial cell membranes. *Planta Medica*, 54(4), 312–314.
- Bergsson, G., Arnfinnsdóttir, L., Steingrímsson, Ó., and Thormar, H. (2001). In vitro killing of bacteria by fatty acids and monoglycerides. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 45(3), 1542–1548.
- Chanda, S., and Nair, R. (2010). Antimicrobial activity of some commonly used medicinal plant extracts against human pathogens. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 72(5), 562–568.
- Chemat, F., Abert Vian, M., Ravi, H. K., Khadhraoui, B., Hilali, S., Perino, S., and Fabiano Tixier, A. S. (2019). Review of alternative solvents for green extraction of food and natural products: Panorama, principles, applications and prospects. *Molecules*, 24(16), 3007.
- Chen, X., Li, Y., and Zhang, W. (2022). Hygroscopic effects of glycerol on microbial cells. *Microbiology*, 168(5), 1123–1132.
- Choudhury, U. K., Sanyal, B., and Mitra, S. (2019). Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities of plant metabolites. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 237, 49–58.
- Clarridge, J. E. (2004). Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial identification in clinical microbiology. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 17(4), 840–862.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2020). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 30th Informational Supplement (CLSI Document M100). Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- Cordell, G. A. (2013). The discovery of therapeutic agents from natural sources. *Journal of Natural Products*, 76(5), 1234–1245.
- Cowan, M. M. (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 12(4), 564–582.
- Davidson, P. M., Harrison, M. A., and Banwart, G. J. (2020). Antimicrobial activity of lauric acid derivatives. *Journal of Food Protection*, 83(7), 1294–1301.
- Dièye, M., Scher, J., Peltier, J., and Faye, B. (2008). Evaluation of aqueous and methanolic extracts from *Hyptis suaveolens* for antimicrobial activity. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 116(1), 123–130.
- Edeoga, H. O., Okwu, D. E., and Mbaebie, B. O. (2006). Chemical composition of *Hyptis suaveolens* essential oil and its biological activities. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 5(10), 501–505.
- Fotsing, J. M., Djonero, R. M., and Nkengfack, A. E. (2022). Phytochemical profiles and extraction yields of *Hyptis suaveolens*. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, 12(2), 80–85.
- Fun, H. K., and Svendsen, E. (1990). Evaluation of the antimicrobial potential of *Hyptis suaveolens* essential oil. *Fitoterapia*, 61(3), 225–230.
- Ghosh, R., Gupta, M., and Saha, P. (2008). Antimicrobial activity of *Polyalthia longifolia* leaf extracts. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 8(2), 101–106.
- Gurunagarajan, S., and Pemaiah, B. (2011). Clerodane diterpenoids from *Polyalthia longifolia* and their bioactivity. *Phytochemistry Letters*, 4(1), 36–39.
- Holt, K. E., Wertheim, H., Zadoks, R. N., and Baker, S. (2015). Comparative genomics of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Genome Research*, 25(6), 749–759.
- Hooper, D. C., and Jacoby, G. A. (2016). Mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*, 6(5), a025320.
- Jothy, S. L., Chen, Y., and Mikalsen, S. O. (2013). Comparative analysis of extraction methods on phytochemical yield of medicinal plants. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 45, 123–130.
- Lee, H. J. (2021). *MB352 General Microbiology Laboratory 2021*. LibreTexts. <https://books.google.com.ng/books?id=pBG0AEACAAJ>
- Li, X., Wu, S., and Wang, D. (2018). Influence of extraction conditions on total phenolic yield. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 112, 467–475.
- Lindsay, J. A., and Holden, M. T. (2004). The genetic basis of virulence in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infection and Immunity*, 72(9), 5225–5232.
- McArthur, A. G., Waglechner, N., Nizam, F., Yan, A., Azad, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Bhullar, K., Canova, M. J., De Pascale, G., Ejim, L., ... and Wright, G. D. (2013). The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 57(7), 3348–3357.
- McCaulley, J. A., Oldfield, T. A., Matosky, A. J., Dobbs, S. W., Christian, V. L., Watterson, T. L., ... & Posey-Dowty, J. (2010). *U.S. Patent Application No. 12/615,639*.
- Mustafa, G., Hameed, A., Chauhan, P. S., Ahmad, M. F., Raja, N. I. A., and Awala, H. M. (2017). Synergistic antimicrobial effects of plant-derived compounds against bacterial pathogens. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 203, 191–198.
- Nigerian Defence Academy, Geospatial Survey Unit. (2024). Official institutional coordinates (Report No. GSU-NDA-2024-01) [Unpublished internal report]. Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna, Nigeria. <https://intranet.nda.edu.ng/geospatial/GSU-NDA-2024-01.pdf>.
- Okonogi, S., Eubsiri, N., and Pantiwiriyanitch, C. (2005). Antimicrobial activity of essential oils from medicinal plants in Thailand. *Food Chemistry*, 92(3), 241–248.
- Osuntokun, O. O., Afolayan, A. J., and Adewole, O. O. (2017). Development and validation of a GC–MS analytical method for profiling bioactive constituents in medicinal plant extracts. *Journal of Chromatographic Science*, 55(3), 267–275.
- Pandey, A., and Tripathi, S. (2009). Flavonoids: Chemistry, metabolism, cardiovascular protection, and anticancer properties. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2(5), 220–220.
- Purushothaman, A., Venkatesan, T., and Ramamurthy, S. (2020). Carotenoid solubility and extraction from plant matrices. *Food Chemistry*, 307, 125654.
- Salam, A. L., Aziz, S., and Ahmad, M. S. (2019). Solvent polarity effects on phytochemical extraction. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 56(3), 1366–1373.
- Santos, C. T., Silva, D. R., and Ferreira, M. C. (2013). Antioxidant properties of phytol and related diterpenes. *Food Chemistry*, 138(4), 2118–2123.
- Sofowora, A. (2008). *Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicine in Africa*. 3rd edn. Ibadan: Spectrum Books Ltd, Ibadan, pp. 55–71.
- Spencer, A. C., and Panda, S. S. (2023). *DNA Gyrase as a Target for Quinolones*. *Biomedicines* 2023, 11, 371.

- Sparkman, O. D., Penton, Z. E., and Kitson, F. G. (2011). *Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry: A practical guide* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
- Stalikas, C. D. (2007). Extraction, separation, and detection methods for phenolic acids and flavonoids. *Journal of Separation Science*, 30(18), 3268–3295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200700296>
- Sultana, B., Anwar, F., and Ashraf, M. (2009). Effectiveness of extraction techniques on antioxidant activity of selected medicinal plant extracts. *Molecules*, 14(6), 2167–2180.
- Tristram, S., Jacobs, M. R., & Appelbaum, P. C. (2007). Antimicrobial resistance in *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Clinical microbiology reviews*, 20(2), 368-389.
- World Health Organization. (2014). *Antimicrobial resistance: Global report on surveillance*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241564748>
- World Health Organization. (2024). *Quality assurance of pharmaceuticals: a compendium of guidelines and related materials, Volume 1. Good practices and related regulatory guidance*. World Health Organization
- Wyres, K. L., and Holt, K. E. (2016). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* population genomics and antimicrobial-resistant clones. *Trends in microbiology*, 24(12), 944-956.