

PERCEPTIONS ON BREASTFEEDING PRACTICES AND CHILD SPACING AMONG MOTHERS IN ABII LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA

¹Stephen Edok Etuki, ²Cletus I. Iboh, ²Emmanuel I. Ogban, ¹Esalo Dorothy Amakwa, ²Emmanuel Chukwunonye Uttah

¹Department of General Studies, College of Nursing Sciences, Itigidi, Cross River State, Nigeria

²Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Cross River State, Calabar, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author Email Address: drecuttah@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Breastfeeding plays a critical role in maternal and child health and has important implications for fertility regulation through the lactational amenorrhea mechanism. Understanding women's perceptions regarding breastfeeding and child spacing is essential for designing effective reproductive health interventions. This study assessed respondents' perceptions of the relationship between breastfeeding practices and child spacing. A descriptive cross-sectional study design was employed among 400 respondents. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire and analyzed using descriptive statistics and Chi-square tests. Frequencies, percentages, and statistical significance were used to describe respondents' perceptions. The findings revealed that 68.0% of respondents agreed that frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation, while 61.5% agreed that exclusive breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea. A substantial majority (73.6%) believed that longer breastfeeding duration increases birth intervals. Conversely, only 47.0% agreed that breastfeeding alone is sufficient for family planning, whereas 53.0% disagreed. More than two-thirds (67.8%) agreed that the early introduction of complementary feeding shortens birth intervals. Furthermore, 77.1% agreed that mental illness can affect breastfeeding and child spacing. All perception domains showed statistically significant response distributions ($p < 0.001$), indicating strong, structured opinions among respondents. The study demonstrates a general awareness of the biological relationship between breastfeeding and fertility regulation. However, misconceptions regarding the effectiveness of breastfeeding as a sole family planning method persist. Strengthening maternal health education on lactational amenorrhea and optimal breastfeeding practices is recommended to improve reproductive health outcomes and promote informed family planning decisions.

Keywords: Breastfeeding, Child spacing, Family planning, Fertility, Lactational amenorrhea, Reproductive health

INTRODUCTION

Breastfeeding is universally recognized as one of the most effective interventions for promoting maternal and child health. Beyond providing optimal nutrition for infants, breastfeeding contributes significantly to maternal health by enhancing postpartum recovery, reducing the risk of breast and ovarian cancers, and naturally regulating fertility (Victora *et al.*, 2016). The relationship between breastfeeding and fertility has been extensively documented through the phenomenon of lactational amenorrhea, whereby frequent and exclusive breastfeeding suppresses ovarian activity and delays the return of ovulation following childbirth (Kennedy *et*

al., 2019).

Birth spacing is a critical determinant of maternal and child health outcomes. Adequate intervals between pregnancies are associated with reduced maternal mortality, lower risks of preterm births, improved neonatal survival, and better nutritional outcomes for children (World Health Organization [WHO], 2023). The WHO recommends a minimum interval of 24 months between births to optimize health outcomes for both mothers and children (WHO, 2023).

The Lactational Amenorrhea Method (LAM) is a recognized modern family planning approach that utilizes the natural contraceptive effect of exclusive breastfeeding during the first six months postpartum. When practiced correctly under specific conditions, LAM is more than 98% effective in preventing pregnancy (Fabric & Choi, 2019). However, misconceptions regarding breastfeeding and fertility remain common in many low- and middle-income countries, resulting in inconsistent adoption of recommended breastfeeding practices and inappropriate reliance on breastfeeding as a sole contraceptive method beyond its effective period (Borda *et al.*, 2020).

Knowledge and perceptions significantly influence maternal behaviors related to infant feeding and family planning. Women who understand the physiological mechanisms linking breastfeeding and fertility are more likely to practice exclusive breastfeeding and adopt appropriate contraceptive measures after the return of fertility (Aguayo *et al.*, 2021). Conversely, poor understanding may contribute to unintended pregnancies, short birth intervals, and adverse maternal and child health outcomes.

Mental health has also emerged as an important factor influencing breastfeeding practices and reproductive decision-making. Maternal depression, anxiety, and other psychological disorders have been associated with shorter breastfeeding duration and challenges in family planning adherence (Figueiredo *et al.*, 2021). Understanding community perceptions regarding these relationships is essential for developing comprehensive maternal health programs.

Despite increasing awareness campaigns, limited studies have examined women's perceptions of the relationship between breastfeeding, fertility regulation, and child spacing. Therefore, this study assessed respondents' perceptions regarding breastfeeding practices and their influence on ovulation, postpartum amenorrhea, fertility regulation, and birth spacing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

A descriptive cross-sectional survey design was adopted to assess respondents' perceptions regarding breastfeeding practices and child spacing.

Study Area

The study was carried out in Abi Local Government Area (LGA). The area is located at 5°50'09" N, 7°58'40" E, and comprises diverse socioeconomic and cultural groups with varying levels of access to maternal and child health services. The projected population of Abi for 2026 is 219,800 (CityPopulation.de). The major ethnic groups in Abi are the Bahumono people and the Agbo subgroup of Igbo. The LGA runs one General Hospital that handles general medical services, and 9 Local Health Centres for Primary Healthcare (PHC).

Study Population

The study population consisted of women of reproductive age who had experienced childbirth and breastfeeding.

2.4 Sample Size

Sample size was calculated using Cochran's formula for prevalence studies:

Where:

$$n_0 = Z^2 p(1-p) / d^2$$

Z = 1.96 (95% confidence level)

P = 0.5 (assumed prevalence due to variability)

D = 0.05 (precision level)

The calculated minimum sample size was 384, and was approximated to 400 to accommodate an equal number of respondents from 10 communities in the Local Government Area. An equal number of respondents (n = 40) were recruited from each of the ten selected communities, giving a total sample size of 400 participants.

Sampling Technique

A multistage sampling technique was used to select eligible participants. Communities were first selected, followed by households and eligible respondents. In all 10 communities, the Abii Local Government Area was randomly selected. Within each community, households were identified through systematic household visits. Eligible mothers were recruited consecutively until the required sample size for each community was attained. Where more than one eligible mother was present in a household, one respondent was selected by simple random sampling.

Data Collection Instrument

Data were collected using a structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire and were collected from March 2025 to February 2026 as part of a PhD dissertation. The questionnaire contained items assessing perceptions regarding breastfeeding, postpartum amenorrhea, ovulation, family planning, birth spacing, complementary feeding, and psychosocial factors.

To ensure the accuracy and consistency of the data collection instrument, the structured questionnaire underwent rigorous validity and reliability assessment before its administration in the study area. The calculated Cronbach alpha was 0.80, indicating that the questionnaire and scale had good internal consistency (reliability).

The questionnaire was developed after a review of the relevant

literature on breastfeeding practices, fertility regulation, maternal health, and reproductive health, including the work of Shorey & Chee (2021). To establish face and content validity, the draft questionnaire was given to experienced researchers in Maternal and Child Health, Reproductive Health, Public Health, Epidemiology, and Biostatistics, who critically evaluated the instrument for relevance, appropriateness, clarity, comprehensiveness, and the suitability of the questions for addressing the study objectives.

The instrument was pretested in the Biase Local Government Area, which has similar demographic and socio-cultural characteristics. In all, 40, which represents 10% of the sample size, were selected for the pretest. The purpose of the pretesting was to identify ambiguities, assess respondents' understanding of the questions, estimate the average time required to complete, and determine the instrument's internal consistency. This process ensured that the instrument was both valid and reliable for assessing breastfeeding. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed to assess respondents' perceptions regarding breastfeeding and its effects on ovulation, postpartum amenorrhea, birth intervals, family planning, and child spacing. Responses were measured using a four-point Likert scale: Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD).

Data Analysis

Data were entered and analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 26.0). Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, were used to summarize responses. Chi-square (χ^2) tests were employed to determine the association between the socio-demographic characteristics and the outcome variables. Statistical significance was established at $p < 0.05$.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Faculty of Biological Sciences Ethics Committee (reference number: UNICROSS/FBS/EC/2025/023). Informed consent was obtained from all respondents before participation, and confidentiality of information was maintained throughout the study.

RESULTS

A total of 400 questionnaires were administered, and all were received, resulting in a 100% response rate. The Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 1. A total of 400 mothers participated in the study. The majority were aged 21–40 years (74.5%), with 37.5% between 31–40 years. Most respondents had secondary education (45.5%), while only 8.0% had no formal education. In terms of reproductive characteristics, 42.0% had parity of 3–4, and 70.5% were not using any contraceptive method.

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age (years)	< 20	41	10.25
	21-30	148	37.00
	31-40	150	37.50
	≥ 41	61	15.25
Education	None	32	8.00
	Primary	87	21.75
	Secondary	182	45.50

	Tertiary	99	24.75
Parity	1-2	119	29.75
	3-4	168	42.00
	≥ 5	113	28.25

Perceptions Regarding Breastfeeding and Child Spacing

Table 2 presents respondents' perceptions regarding the relationship between breastfeeding practices and child spacing. The chi-square (χ^2) values and corresponding p-values indicate that the distribution of responses for all perception variables differed significantly from an equal distribution ($p < 0.001$), suggesting strong opinions among respondents on these issues. A majority of respondents agreed that frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation, with 170 (42.5%) agreeing and 102 (25.5%) strongly agreeing, giving a total positive perception of 272 (68.0%). In contrast, 128 (32.0%) disagreed or strongly disagreed. The observed distribution was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 87.46, p < 0.001$), indicating that respondents were significantly more likely to agree than disagree with this statement. Most respondents believed that exclusive breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea, with 246 (61.5%) agreeing (21.5% strongly agree; 40.0% agree). About 154 (38.5%) disagreed. The distribution was highly significant ($\chi^2 = 62.28, p < 0.001$). A substantial majority, 294 (73.5%), agreed that longer breastfeeding duration increases birth interval. Only 106 (26.5%) disagreed. The highly significant χ^2 value ($\chi^2 = 110.12, p < 0.001$) indicates strong consensus among respondents. The proportion agreeing (43.8%) was significantly higher than that for all other response categories, while the strongly disagree category had the

lowest frequency (7.5%). Responses to this statement were more divided. While 188 (47.0%) agreed or strongly agreed, a slightly greater proportion, 212 (53.0%), disagreed or strongly disagreed. The significant chi-square value ($\chi^2 = 35.64, p < 0.001$) indicates that opinions were not evenly distributed. Regarding the perception that breastfeeding alone is sufficient for family planning, the largest proportion of respondents selected "disagree" (36.0%). Pairwise comparisons (see Table 5) revealed that respondents who disagreed were significantly more numerous than those who strongly agreed (24.5%) ($\chi^2 = 8.74, df = 1, p = 0.003$), agreed (22.5%) ($\chi^2 = 12.46, df = 1, p < 0.001$), and strongly disagreed (17.0%) ($\chi^2 = 27.25, df = 1, p < 0.001$).

A total of 225 (56.3%) respondents agreed that poor breastfeeding practices shorten child spacing, while 175 (43.8%) disagreed. The observed pattern was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 28.91, p < 0.001$). Most respondents agreed that early complementary feeding shortens the birth interval, with 271 (67.8%) agreeing and only 129 (32.3%) disagreeing. The difference was highly significant ($\chi^2 = 94.78, p < 0.001$).

This variable recorded the strongest level of agreement among respondents. A total of 308 (77.0%) respondents agreed or strongly agreed, while only 92 (23.0%) disagreed or strongly disagreed. The highest chi-square value was observed for this variable ($\chi^2 = 156.37, p < 0.001$).

Table 2. Perception Domains of Respondents (N = 400)

Variable	SA n (%)	A n (%)	D n (%)	SD n (%)	χ^2	p-value
Frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation	102 (25.5)	170 (42.5)	39 (9.8)	89 (22.3)	87.46	<0.001
Exclusive breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea	86 (21.5)	160 (40.0)	109 (27.3)	45 (11.3)	62.28	<0.001
Longer breastfeeding duration increases birth interval	119 (29.8)	175 (43.8)	76 (19.0)	30 (7.5)	110.12	<0.001
Breastfeeding alone is sufficient for family planning	98 (24.5)	90 (22.5)	144 (36.0)	68 (17.0)	35.64	<0.001
Poor breastfeeding practice shortens child spacing	105 (26.3)	120 (30.0)	134 (33.5)	41 (10.3)	28.91	<0.001
Early complementary feeding shortens birth interval	146 (36.5)	125 (31.3)	109 (27.3)	20 (5.0)	94.78	<0.001
Mental illness can affect breastfeeding and child spacing	179 (44.8)	129 (32.3)	41 (10.3)	51 (12.8)	156.37	<0.001

Frequent Breastfeeding Delays Ovulation

Specific statistical differences between response categories for the statement "Frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation", a post-hoc comparison of proportions was performed (see Table 3). Regarding the perception that frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation, pairwise post hoc comparisons revealed significant differences across several response categories. The proportion of respondents who agreed with the statement (42.5%) was significantly higher than those who strongly agreed (25.5%) ($\chi^2 = 17.00, df = 1, p < 0.001$). Likewise, the proportion who agreed was significantly greater than those who disagreed (9.8%) ($\chi^2 = 82.11, df = 1, p < 0.001$) and those who strongly disagreed (22.3%) ($\chi^2 = 25.33, df = 1, p < 0.001$). Furthermore, respondents who strongly disagreed were significantly more numerous than those who disagreed ($\chi^2 = 19.53, df = 1, p < 0.001$). These findings indicate that agreement with the statement predominated among respondents, supporting the overall significant association observed in the omnibus chi-square test ($\chi^2 = 87.46, df = 3, p < 0.001$).

Table 3. Post-hoc comparison of proportions on the assertion "Frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation"

Comparison	Ob/Freq	χ^2 (df = 1)	p-value	Interpretation
A vs SA	170 vs 102	17.00	< 0.001	Agree responses were significantly higher than Strongly Agree responses
A vs D	170 vs 39	82.11	< 0.001	Agree responses were significantly higher than Disagree responses
A vs SD	170 vs 89	25.33	< 0.001	Agree responses were significantly higher than Strongly Disagree responses
SD vs D	89 vs 39	19.53	< 0.001	Strongly

				Disagree responses were significantly higher than Disagree responses
--	--	--	--	--

Exclusive Breastfeeding Prolongs Postpartum Amenorrhea

The pairwise comparisons on the assertion "Exclusive breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea" are presented in Table 4. For the perception that exclusive breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea, respondents were significantly more likely to agree (40.0%) than to strongly agree (21.5%) ($\chi^2 = 30.05$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, the proportion who agreed was significantly greater than the proportion who strongly disagreed (11.3%) ($\chi^2 = 64.51$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). In addition, respondents selecting agree were significantly more numerous than those selecting disagree (27.3%) ($\chi^2 = 9.67$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.002$).

These findings suggest a strong perception among respondents regarding the role of exclusive breastfeeding in prolonging postpartum amenorrhea. The predominance of agreement supports widespread awareness of the fertility-suppressing effect of exclusive breastfeeding. It is consistent with the highly significant overall chi-square result for this variable ($\chi^2 = 62.28$, $df = 3$, $p < 0.001$).

Using a Bonferroni-adjusted significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.05/3 = 0.0167$, all reported pairwise differences remain statistically significant after adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Table 4. Pairwise comparisons on the assertion "Exclusive Breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea"

Comparison	Frequencies	χ^2	df	p-value
Agree vs Strongly Agree	160 vs 86	30.05	1	< 0.001
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	160 vs 45	64.51	1	< 0.001
Agree vs Disagree	160 vs 109	9.67	1	0.002

Longer Breastfeeding Duration Increases Birth Interval

The pairwise comparisons on the assertion that "Longer breastfeeding duration increases birth interval" are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Pairwise comparisons on the assertion that "Longer breastfeeding duration increases birth interval" Comparisons

Comparison	Frequencies	χ^2	df	p-value
Agree vs Strongly Agree	175 vs 119	13.20	1	< 0.001
Agree vs Disagree	175 vs 76	39.08	1	< 0.001
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	175 vs 30	102.56	1	< 0.001
Strongly Agree vs Disagree	119 vs 76	9.59	1	0.002
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	119 vs 30	53.12	1	< 0.001
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	76 vs 30	21.04	1	< 0.001

For the perception that longer breastfeeding duration increases birth interval, respondents were significantly more likely to agree (43.8%) than to strongly agree (29.8%) ($\chi^2 = 13.20$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$), disagree (19.0%) ($\chi^2 = 39.08$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$), or strongly

disagree (7.5%) ($\chi^2 = 102.56$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$).

Similarly, respondents who strongly agreed were significantly more numerous than those who disagreed ($\chi^2 = 9.59$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.002$) and those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 53.12$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Furthermore, the proportion of respondents who disagreed was significantly higher than those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 21.04$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$).

These results indicate a strong consensus among respondents that prolonged breastfeeding contributes to increased birth intervals. The combined agreement level (73.5%) was substantially higher than the combined disagreement level (26.5%), reinforcing the overall significant association observed in the omnibus chi-square test ($\chi^2 = 110.12$, $df = 3$, $p < 0.001$).

Applying a Bonferroni correction for six pairwise comparisons ($\alpha = 0.05 / 6 = 0.0083$) showed that all pairwise comparisons remained statistically significant after adjustment, as all p-values were below the corrected significance threshold of 0.0083.

Breastfeeding Alone Is Sufficient for Family Planning

No statistically significant difference was observed between respondents who strongly agreed and those who agreed ($\chi^2 = 0.34$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.559$). Likewise, the difference between agree and strongly disagree responses was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 3.06$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.080$). Although respondents who strongly agreed were more numerous than those who strongly disagreed, the difference was modest ($\chi^2 = 5.42$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.020$).

Overall, the findings suggest that respondents were significantly more inclined to reject the notion that breastfeeding alone is sufficient for family planning. The predominance of disagreement (53.0% combined D + SD) over agreement (47.0% combined SA + A) indicates awareness that breastfeeding may not provide complete contraceptive protection unless the criteria for the Lactational Amenorrhea Method (LAM) are strictly met.

Table 6. Pairwise comparisons on the statement "Breastfeeding Alone Is Sufficient for Family Planning"

Comparison	Frequencies	χ^2	df	p-value
Disagree vs Strongly Agree	144 vs 98	8.74	1	0.003
Disagree vs Agree	144 vs 90	12.46	1	< 0.001
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	144 vs 68	27.25	1	< 0.001
Strongly Agree vs Agree	98 vs 90	0.34	1	0.559
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	98 vs 68	5.42	1	0.020
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	90 vs 68	3.06	1	0.080

For six pairwise comparisons, the Bonferroni-adjusted significance level was 0.0083 ($\alpha = 0.0 / 6 = 0.0083$). After adjustment, only the comparisons involving the Disagree category remained statistically significant after correcting for multiple testing, confirming that disagreement was the dominant response pattern for this statement.

Table 7. Significance after Bonferroni adjustment on the statement “Breastfeeding Alone Is Sufficient for Family Planning”

Comparison	p-value	Significant?
Disagree vs Strongly Agree	0.003	Yes
Disagree vs Agree	<0.001	Yes
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Strongly Agree vs Agree	0.559	No
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	0.020	No
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	0.080	No

Poor Breastfeeding Practice Shortens Child Spacing

For the perception that poor breastfeeding practice shortens child spacing, the highest proportion of respondents selected disagree (33.5%), followed closely by agree (30.0%) and strongly agree (26.3%). Pairwise comparisons revealed no significant difference between respondents who disagreed and those who agreed ($\chi^2 = 0.77$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.381$), nor between those who disagreed and strongly agreed ($\chi^2 = 3.52$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.061$). Similarly, no significant difference was observed between respondents who agreed and those who strongly agreed ($\chi^2 = 1.00$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.317$). However, respondents who disagreed were significantly more numerous than those who strongly disagreed (10.3%) ($\chi^2 = 49.46$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Likewise, respondents who agreed were significantly more numerous than those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 38.75$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). At the same time, respondents who strongly agreed were also significantly more numerous than those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 28.05$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). These findings indicate that respondents' opinions were relatively divided between agreement and disagreement regarding the effect of poor breastfeeding practices on child spacing. Nevertheless, the very low proportion of strongly disagree responses suggests that few respondents completely rejected the proposed relationship.

Table 8. Pairwise comparisons on the statement “poor breastfeeding practices shorten child spacing”

Comparison	Frequencies	χ^2	df	p-value
Disagree vs Agree	134 vs 120	0.77	1	0.381
Disagree vs Strongly Agree	134 vs 105	3.52	1	0.061
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	134 vs 41	49.46	1	< 0.001
Agree vs Strongly Agree	120 vs 105	1.00	1	0.317
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	120 vs 41	38.75	1	< 0.001
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	105 vs 41	28.05	1	< 0.001

For six pairwise comparisons, the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold was 0.0083. Therefore, after adjustment for multiple comparisons, only comparisons involving the Strongly Disagree category remained statistically significant (see Table 9), suggesting that the principal distinction in respondents' perceptions was between those who strongly rejected the statement and all other response groups.

Table 9. Significance after Bonferroni adjustment on the statement “Poor breastfeeding practices shorten child spacing”

Comparison	p-value	Significance after Bonferroni correction?
Disagree vs Agree	0.381	No
Disagree vs Strongly Agree	0.061	No
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Agree vs Strongly Agree	0.317	No
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes

Early Complementary Feeding Shortens Birth Interval

Pairwise chi-square tests ($df = 1$) were conducted to identify significant differences between response categories (see Table 10). For the perception that early complementary feeding shortens birth interval, the largest proportion of respondents strongly agreed (36.5%), followed by those who agreed (31.3%), disagreed (27.3%), and strongly disagreed (5.0%). Pairwise comparisons showed no significant difference between respondents who strongly agreed and those who agreed ($\chi^2 = 1.63$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.202$). Likewise, the difference between respondents who agreed and those who disagreed was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 1.09$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.296$). A modest difference was observed between respondents who strongly agreed and those who disagreed ($\chi^2 = 5.37$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.020$), indicating that strong agreement was more common than disagreement. However, the most pronounced differences involved the strongly disagree category. Respondents who strongly agreed were significantly more numerous than those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 95.71$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, respondents who agreed significantly outnumbered those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 76.03$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$), while respondents who disagreed were also significantly more numerous than those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 44.49$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Overall, these findings provide substantial support for the perception that early complementary feeding may shorten birth intervals, with 67.8% of respondents agreeing (SA + A) compared with 32.3% disagreeing (D + SD).

Table 10. Pairwise comparisons on the statement “Early Complementary Feeding Shortens Birth Interval”

Comparison	Frequencies	χ^2	df	p-value
Strongly Agree vs Agree	146 vs 125	1.63	1	0.202
Strongly Agree vs Disagree	146 vs 109	5.37	1	0.020
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	146 vs 20	95.71	1	< 0.001
Agree vs Disagree	125 vs 109	1.09	1	0.296
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	125 vs 20	76.03	1	< 0.001
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	109 vs 20	44.49	1	< 0.001

For six pairwise comparisons, the Bonferroni-adjusted significance level was 0.0083. Therefore, after adjustment for multiple testing (see Table 11), only the comparisons involving the Strongly Disagree category remained statistically significant, demonstrating that the very small proportion of respondents who strongly rejected

the statement differed markedly from all other response groups.

Table 11. Significance after Bonferroni adjustment on the statement "Early Complementary Feeding Shortens Birth Interval"

Comparison	p-value	Significant after Bonferroni correction?
Strongly Agree vs Agree	0.202	No
Strongly Agree vs Disagree	0.020	No
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Agree vs Disagree	0.296	No
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes

Mental Illness Can Affect Breastfeeding and Child Spacing

Pairwise chi-square tests (df = 1) were conducted to identify significant differences between response categories (see Table 12). For the perception that mental illness can affect breastfeeding and child spacing, the largest proportion of respondents strongly agreed (44.8%), followed by those who agreed (32.3%), strongly disagreed (12.8%), and disagreed (10.3%). Pairwise comparisons revealed that respondents who strongly agreed were significantly more numerous than those who agreed ($\chi^2 = 9.69$, df = 1, p = 0.002), disagreed ($\chi^2 = 86.56$, df = 1, p < 0.001), and strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 71.23$, df = 1, p < 0.001).

Similarly, respondents who agreed were significantly more numerous than those who disagreed ($\chi^2 = 45.55$, df = 1, p < 0.001) and those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 33.80$, df = 1, p < 0.001). However, there was no statistically significant difference between respondents who disagreed and those who strongly disagreed ($\chi^2 = 1.09$, df = 1, p = 0.297).

These findings indicate a strong consensus among respondents that maternal mental illness can influence breastfeeding practices and child spacing. The combined proportion of respondents agreeing (77.0%) was substantially greater than the combined proportion expressing disagreement (23.0%), supporting the overall highly significant chi-square result ($\chi^2 = 156.37$, df = 3, p < 0.001).

For six pairwise comparisons, the Bonferroni-adjusted significance threshold was 0.0083. Thus, after correcting for multiple comparisons, all differences involving the Strongly Agree and Agree categories remained statistically significant, whereas the difference between Disagree and Strongly Disagree responses was not significant. This pattern demonstrates strong endorsement of the statement by the study population.

Table 13. Pairwise comparisons on the statement "Mental Illness Can Affect Breastfeeding and Child Spacing"

Comparison	p-value	Significant after Bonferroni correction?
Strongly Agree vs Agree	0.002	Yes
Strongly Agree vs Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Strongly Agree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Agree vs Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Agree vs Strongly Disagree	<0.001	Yes
Disagree vs Strongly Disagree	0.297	No

DISCUSSION

The present study assessed respondents' perceptions regarding breastfeeding practices and their relationship with fertility regulation and child spacing. The findings demonstrate a general awareness regarding the biological and reproductive benefits of breastfeeding, although notable misconceptions remain.

The majority of respondents (68.0%) agreed that frequent breastfeeding delays ovulation. This finding aligns with established physiological evidence indicating that frequent suckling suppresses the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis through elevated prolactin secretion, thereby delaying the resumption of ovulatory cycles after childbirth (McNeilly, 2018; Kennedy *et al.*, 2019). Similar findings have been reported in studies from sub-Saharan Africa, where women recognized breastfeeding as a natural means of delaying pregnancy (Borda *et al.*, 2020; Aguayo *et al.*, 2021).

More than sixty percent of respondents also agreed that exclusive breastfeeding prolongs postpartum amenorrhea. This observation is consistent with previous research demonstrating that exclusive breastfeeding significantly extends the duration of postpartum infertility compared with partial breastfeeding (Victoria *et al.*, 2016). Respondents' perceptions reflect an encouraging level of awareness of the physiological effects of breastfeeding on reproductive function. However, the relatively high proportion of respondents who disagreed suggests that knowledge gaps remain within the population.

The finding that 73.6% of respondents believed longer breastfeeding duration increases birth intervals is biologically plausible and consistent with evidence showing that prolonged breastfeeding contributes to delayed fertility return and wider birth spacing (Fabric & Choi, 2019). Adequate birth spacing has been associated with reductions in maternal depletion syndrome, infant mortality, and childhood malnutrition (WHO, 2023). The high level of agreement, therefore, reflects a positive understanding of the relationship between infant feeding practices and reproductive outcomes.

Interestingly, perceptions were divided regarding whether breastfeeding alone is sufficient for family planning. More respondents disagreed (53.0%) than agreed (47.0%), indicating uncertainty regarding the contraceptive effectiveness of breastfeeding. This finding may actually reflect a more accurate understanding of LAM because breastfeeding is only highly effective as contraception when three conditions are met: exclusive breastfeeding, postpartum amenorrhea, and infant age below six months (Kennedy *et al.*, 2019). Similar uncertainty has been reported among postpartum women in developing countries, where awareness of LAM often exists but detailed knowledge of its criteria remains limited (Borda *et al.*, 2020). The finding underscores the need for targeted education emphasizing both the benefits and limitations of breastfeeding as a contraceptive strategy.

Perceptions regarding poor breastfeeding practices and child spacing were more evenly distributed. While a slight majority agreed that poor breastfeeding practices shorten the interval between children, a substantial proportion disagreed. This variability may indicate differing levels of understanding regarding the mechanisms linking breastfeeding intensity and fertility suppression. Studies have shown that reduced breastfeeding frequency or mixed feeding practices can accelerate the return of ovulation and increase the likelihood of conception (McNeilly, 2018). The observed divergence of opinion suggests opportunities for enhanced community education.

A substantial majority (67.8%) agreed that early introduction of complementary feeding shortens birth intervals. This finding reflects awareness that reduced breastfeeding intensity weakens lactational infertility. Early complementary feeding decreases suckling stimulation, leading to reduced prolactin secretion and earlier resumption of ovarian activity (Victoria *et al.*, 2016). Similar observations have been reported among women receiving postpartum counseling, where understanding of complementary feeding practices was associated with improved family planning awareness (Aguayo *et al.*, 2021).

One of the most notable findings was that 77.1% of respondents agreed that mental illness can affect breastfeeding and child spacing. This high level of awareness is encouraging because maternal mental health is increasingly recognized as a critical determinant of breastfeeding success and reproductive decision-making. Maternal depression and anxiety have been linked to early breastfeeding cessation, reduced maternal-infant bonding, and inconsistent contraceptive use (Figueiredo *et al.*, 2021; Shorey & Chee, 2021). The strong agreement observed suggests growing recognition of the interconnectedness of psychological well-being and reproductive health.

The statistically significant Chi-square values across all perception domains indicate that responses were not randomly distributed but reflected clearly established beliefs among respondents. These findings suggest that while awareness regarding breastfeeding and fertility regulation is generally high, important gaps remain concerning the precise conditions under which breastfeeding provides contraceptive protection.

Overall, the study highlights the need for strengthened maternal health education programs that emphasize exclusive breastfeeding, lactational amenorrhea, postpartum family planning, and maternal mental health. Integrating breastfeeding counseling with reproductive health services could improve both maternal and child health outcomes while promoting optimal birth spacing.

Conclusion

Respondents demonstrated substantial perception of the relationship between breastfeeding and fertility regulation. Most participants recognized that frequent and prolonged breastfeeding delays ovulation, prolongs postpartum amenorrhea, and contributes to increased birth intervals. However, misconceptions regarding the effectiveness of breastfeeding as a sole family planning method persist. Awareness of the influence of mental health on breastfeeding and child spacing was also high. Strengthening education on lactational amenorrhea, optimal breastfeeding practices, and postpartum family planning is necessary to improve reproductive health outcomes.

Recommendations

Maternal health education programs should emphasize the physiological basis of lactational amenorrhea. Health workers should provide comprehensive counseling on the criteria and limitations of LAM. Community awareness campaigns should promote exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life. Mental health screening and support services should be integrated into maternal and child health programs. Postpartum family planning education should accompany breastfeeding counseling to prevent unintended pregnancies.

REFERENCES

- Aguayo, V. M., Paintal, K., & Singh, G. (2021). The importance of infant and young child feeding practices for maternal and child health. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*, 17(S1), e13112.
- Borda, M. R., Winfrey, W., & McKaig, C. (2020). Return to sexual activity and modern family planning use in the extended postpartum period. *Reproductive Health*, 17(1), 1–11.
- City Population (2026). City Population – statistics for census areas. www.CityPopulation.de Accessed March 9, 2026.
- Fabic, M. S., & Choi, Y. (2019). Assessing the quality of the lactational amenorrhea method use. *Studies in Family Planning*, 50(2), 155–172.
- Figueiredo, B., Canário, C., & Field, T. (2021). Breastfeeding and postpartum depression: State of the science. *Journal of Pediatric and Neonatal Individualized Medicine*, 10(1), e100119.
- Kennedy, K. I., Trussell, J., & Gross, B. A. (2019). Lactational amenorrhea method for family planning: Effectiveness and mechanisms. *Contraception*, 100(4), 266–270.
- McNeilly, A. S. (2018). Neuroendocrine control of lactational amenorrhea. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*, 30(7), 938–944.
- Shorey, S., & Chee, C. Y. I. (2021). Mental health and breastfeeding outcomes among postpartum women: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(3), 1123.
- Victoria, C. G., Bahl, R., Barros, A. J. D., França, G. V. A., Horton, S., Krasevec, J., Murch, S., Sankar, M. J., Walker, N., & Rollins, N. C. (2016). Breastfeeding in the 21st century: Epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effects. *The Lancet*, 387(10017), 475–490.
- World Health Organization. (2023). *Recommendations on maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health*. Geneva: WHO. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240080591>